

Attachment A

Amendments to Kitsap County Development Code: Written & Verbal Comment Matrix			
Comments Relevant to Current Proposal			
Name	Comment	Department Response	Submitted
Michael Armstrong (written comment)	In 23, the (b) phrase "...minimum of four feet by six feet, or twenty-four square feet" is ambiguous, thanks to the "or". (c) requires a minimum 4-foot width, so (b) really only needs to state the minimum area (24 square feet). As written, a 24-foot wide, by 1-foot deep, would be OK. I hope "wide" is defined somewhere also.	The Department of Community Development recommends refining proposed language for ID 23 for clarification purposes prior to the Board of County Commissioner Public Hearing.	11/07/17 12:13 PM
Doug Lyons (written comment)	The less restrictions the better. We should encourage residential, commercial, and industrial development.	The goal of the 2017 batch of thirty-three code amendments is to simplify Kitsap County Code for the general public and staff.	11/07/17 4:30 PM
Kevin Tisdel (public hearing testimony)	Recommended the Planning Commission defer action on the proposed amendments to allow for more time for the public to review the proposal.	The Department followed up with Mr. Tisdel via email on November 15, 2017 to provide additional information regarding the proposal. The public will have additional time to review and comment ahead of the Board of County Commissioners taking action on the proposal.	11/14/17 5:45 PM
Comments Relevant to Future Proposals			
Name	Comment	Department Response	Submitted
Nicholas Kosin (written comment)	I would like to comment on the Agriculture code (chapter 17.455.030) use permissibility for the Manchester Village low AND Manchester Village Residential that allows residents to keep hens and roosters. I would like to make my comment recorded that I want the roosters to be prohibited in these density zones. Please see attached word file for my reasoning. Thank you.	The Department of Community Development has added this item to the list of ideas for consideration when prioritizing future code amendments.	11/03/17 12:42 PM
Cassandra Andersen (written comment)	Hello! I'm writing to say that I feel strongly that roosters should not be allowed in rural neighborhoods (especially in my neighborhood in Manchester) which are designated as high density growth areas. We live in a dense neighborhood, not a farming community where there are acres and acres between neighbors. There is no use for a rooster in a dense area like this. Thank you! Cas Andersen	The Department of Community Development has added this item to the list of ideas for consideration when prioritizing future code amendments.	11/06/17 2:11 PM
Jeff Coombe (written comment)	I have attached some of the changes that I would to see in the use table for Kitsap County. OBW footnote #25 in the Density & Dimensions of the code has a maximum lot size of 18,000 sf on an existing lot. Wow! I can't imagine when landowners in Kitsap County with a single tax parcel that they have owned for years find out that there property is not buildable for one home if it is over 18,000 sf. This is a law suit waiting to happen.	The Department of Community Development has added this item to the list of ideas for consideration when prioritizing future code amendments.	11/09/17 3:10 PM
Linda Redling (written comment)	I would like to know if changes have been put in place to change Zoning Codes in the Hansville neighborhoods were some neighbors are designated one category and the neighbor next door is designated another category. (example: our neighbors are designated as Rural Residential and we are Rural Wooded) I was advised in 2014 that changes would be made and we are still designated as Rural Wooded, we should be Rural Residential all long with 3 of our neighbors. Can some please answer this question. Thank you	The Department of Community Development has contacted Ms. Redling via email to provide additional information regarding the process for changing a property's zoning designation.	11/13/17 11:31 AM