



Board of County Commissioner Consideration – Comment Matrix Part 2



The following comments were received during the public comment period open from October 1 through October 31, 2018 and testimony received during a public hearing before the Board of County Commissioners on October 29, 2018.

#	Name, Org	Comment	Staff Response
AMENDMENT: CPA 18-00490 (CULBERTSON)			
20.	Robin Gail Schwering	<p>Summary of attached letter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purchased home in 2017, as a retirement place to spend my last years as I am terminally ill. I purchased this home for my daughter because of her love of nature and the quiet it would provide for me. • I fear that if the Overlay is put in place the quiet will be gone for sure and so will the nature. • The following concerns regarding potential future mine operations were described: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The noise and dust caused by the blasting would affect property values. ○ Health conditions could also become worse due to all of the dust. • I have looked on County websites to see if there is a need for the expansion. I found no specific need. • I feel more research needs to be done before thinking of making this change. If a need is documented, then maybe a smaller area could be slated to have the Overlay, so it would not impact my neighbors on Sand Dollar Road. • I beg the County to leave our neighborhood the way it is, so we can all continue to enjoy the peace and nature we currently have. 	<p>Thank you for your comment. We understand your concerns regarding potential future mine operations and that it is a challenging land use to site and live near. To ensure you have an opportunity to participate at the time a development proposal is submitted, the Department is recommending a revision to the Kitsap County Code that will require all future mine operations to obtain a conditional use permit in the Mineral Resource Overlay (MRO) area. The conditional use permit (CUP) process is when concerns, including wells, septic systems, noise, vibration, and dust caused by blasting, will be identified and evaluated based on proposed development activities. The CUP process may result in conditions that require monitoring of air quality, wells, and seismic activity and limit things like the hours of operation and where and when blasting can occur on the site.</p> <p>You also mentioned the need for expansion. Unlike other types of land use designations, the MRO can only occur in areas where mineral resources exist.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hard rock resources are more limited than gravel and sand resources in Kitsap County (see Staff Report Attachment C8). • There are 28 quarries in Kitsap County and 5 are rock and stone quarries. The KRM quarry adjacent to the site makes up 30% of the rock and stone quarries by

Board of County Commissioner Consideration
Comment Matrix

#	Name, Org	Comment	Staff Response
			area, which the applicant states will be gone in 5-years (see Staff Report Attachment C6).
21.	Mike Novak	<p>I'm writing to you to let you know that living by the quarry hasn't been easy and the thought that Kitsap County Planning Board may allow it to get worse, is appalling. I live at 2300 Sand Dollar and between the shaking and noise that occurs when KRM decides to blast is a very unsettling experience. I feel like the county wants to have its cake and eat it too by maximizing tax revenue from both residential and mining zones. There should be a much larger buffer between the 2 because the seismic activity that occurs is unbearable at times. The number of residential homes that have gone up since this quarry first opened has gone up exponentially and should not have been allowed if you were going to allow the quarry to expand in this direction. Please consider the pleas of your residents as we are the ones who actually have to live with your decision.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment.</p> <p>See response #20. To the extent that can be determined during this process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The site is immediately adjacent to an existing rock and stone quarry, electric substation, City of Bremerton forestland property, undeveloped land, and rural density residential. • Mining operations have occurred on the adjacent site since at least the 1980s. • The proposed amendment, if approved, does not guarantee that mining operations will be permitted to develop on the site. • The Mineral Resource Overlay (MRO) currently exist in the Rural Protection zone elsewhere in the County. • Aggregate extraction is an allowed use, with a conditional use permit, in the Rural Protection zone. • The proposed amendment will assure the conservation of mineral resource lands of commercial significance as required by state law (RCW 36.70A.060)
22.	David Galligan	<p>Online comment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I own a home at 2867 Sanddollar Rd NW that abuts the Culbertson property. I am planning to put the property on the market since I am 79 years old and have health issues. • I am very concerned that approval of the mineral resource overlay will seriously devalue properties near the proposed quarry expansion. • We already have issues with the blasting, near constant equipment noise and air quality even though the quarry is at least 1000 feet away. We 	<p>Thank you for your comment.</p> <p>See response #20 & 21.</p>

#	Name, Org	Comment	Staff Response
		<p>have damage to the dry wall as result of blasting vibration.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allowing the quarry to expand will make it nearly impossible to sell our property and further intensify noise and likely negatively impact air quality and risk damage to our wells and septic systems. • The Planning Commission has recommended denial of this request citing its incompatibility with neighboring properties and the Rural Protection zone. <p>I urge the County Commissioners to uphold the Planning Commission’s recommendation and protect the property owners who will be damaged by its approval.</p> <p>Summary of public hearing testimony:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I own a home that abuts the Culbertson property. • I would like to urge you to deny the request. • As it is, we have sound from the blasting and the equipment. We have dust in the summer time. I am very concerned about what may happen to our wells. • I would like to put my property on the market, but who in their right mind would like to buy property with a gravel pit and quarry in their backyard. <p>It is not compatible with our neighborhood. The current noise from equipment and blasting is very objectionable.</p>	
23.	Deb Lambert	<p>Summary of public hearing testimony:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I am a homeowner by Culbertson and my family has lived up there for 30 years. • I am asking you to deny the MRO request. • My neighbors and I are very concerned. • ACG, which is owned by a global company, is already advertising this resource in Seattle to support growth there for the next 20-plus years. It’s not even being advertised as benefiting our County. • Our County has put money into the vision for the suburban growth in Gorst, the beautification plan. • When you drive from Port Orchard toward Gorst and you look across the 	<p>Thank you for your comment.</p> <p>See response #20 & 21.</p>

#	Name, Org	Comment	Staff Response
		<p>water and you see the clearing up on the hill, that is where this mine is getting expanded. For the next 20-plus years you will see that hillside getting blown down where you are trying to make a beautification project, which is an entrance into our area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The following concerns regarding potential future mine operations were described: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Blasting could put our well and septic systems at risk. ○ Buffers to protect from noise will not exist because they have already clear cut the entire property, there is no buffer. ○ Have not provided hours of operation or blasting schedule. ○ Already hear the noise of equipment, which will only get closer. ○ Area backs up to a wetland that has foxes, wolves, eagles, and bears. Tons of wildlife that are in the Gorst area being protected by all of our properties. ○ Area backs up to the Bremerton watershed and the PSE substation. ○ There have already been incidents of rocks through roofs and windows. <p>There is nothing protecting use, like even a gun range does, from noise, damage to our homes, or our quality of life. That needs to be taken into consideration.</p>	
24.	Doug Lambert	<p>Summary of public hearing testimony:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I live on Skipping Stone Lane. • I don't believe that mines belong in a neighborhood. • The Culbertson land is not KRM. I understand KRM wants to expand onto Culbertson land. • We are a nice little community in the woods and we want to protect our way of life. It's quiet most of the time after KRM closes down. • I am concerned about dust, rocks, noise, my grandkids play in this area, wildlife. • A report I read online today says there are a few thousand acres for the wildlife to go to, but they are living there now. • Also concerned about well and septic. Who is monitoring? All the monitoring I have seen is at KRM, which is up the road. 	<p>Thank you for your comment.</p> <p>See response #20 & 21.</p>

#	Name, Org	Comment	Staff Response
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Moving 69-acres closer will make a lot of difference for how sound moves up the hill. • Who will be the watchdog for the dust and noise? <p>They say there will be a natural vegetation buffer, but they have already logged the area all the way into neighbor’s properties.</p>	
25.	Elfie Zach	<p>Summary of public hearing testimony:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I am one of the landowners right next door to Culbertson. • Most of the other speakers have covered what I wanted to cover. • What I really want to say is, no matter what, in any of the Comprehensive Plans that I have read, they all say the same thing, that we all have a right to live in our little piece of heaven. If we wanted to live in Seattle with all the noise and hustle and bustle, we would not be living in Gorst or Bremerton, we would not have created the Comprehensive Plan. • The Planning Commission is recommending “No”, the staff is recommending “yes”. <p>I hope that you listen to these people and their stories. Just because the facts say you can, doesn’t mean you should.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment.</p> <p>See response #20 & 21.</p>
26.	Sally Harrison	<p>Summary of public hearing testimony:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I own property that directly abuts the Culbertson property. • I am impressed by all the comments from my neighbors and they are saying what I would say. • KRM’s parent company, HIG, is a huge monetary resource and it is quite able take over our neighborhood if they want to. • Our property value and marketability immediately drops the moment the MRO is granted. It’s like a big sign hanging on our property. • As I understand it, that would be just the initial setback that we will encounter in the ongoing struggle for the level of accountability we feel is needed to protect our physical and aesthetic health and safety. • I understand the philosophical implications of needing the change to provide for the greater good versus the needs of the few. I feel that is what we are facing here. • The proposal butts up against the Bremerton watershed. KCC 19.600 	<p>Thank you for your comment.</p> <p>See response #20 & 21. The Department would like to clarify that the Culbertson site is not located adjacent to the “Bremerton watershed” property. A portion of the Culbertson site is located adjacent to Bremerton utility lands. These lands are commercial forest and not part of the Bremerton watershed property.</p> <p>The Department also wanted to clarify that the Culbertson site is not located within the Gorst Significant Recharge Area. The environmental constraints on the site will need to be field verified and evaluated further when a project-specific development plan is proposed for the site.</p>

#	Name, Org	Comment	Staff Response
		<p>specifically list the Gorst Significant Recharge Area as a critical aquifer recharge area along with four other areas in the County due to special circumstances. Quote: “Aquifers in the Gorst basin are highly susceptible to the introduction of pollutants and provide significant potable water supplies for the city of Bremerton.”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please convince me that the ongoing need for potable water along with the needs of the few in our neighborhood is less important than providing rock as a resource, especially at a time when the inventory of hard rock resources needs updating so badly. <p>Question regarding the notice required by KCC 17.170.070. Does this mean I can’t complain if I take out a building permit to build my retirement home next to a quarry?</p>	<p>KCC 17.170.070 is consistent with state law and requires all plats, short plats, development permits and building permits issued within 500 feet of a site with a Mineral Resource Overlay (MRO) to include a notice that they are adjacent to land in which resource activities are permitted and encouraged.</p>
27.	Mark Sandbridge	<p>Summary of public hearing testimony:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I live within 1,000 feet of the Culbertson extension. • I don’t want anything closer to my land to ruin my well or septic system. • It’s rural protected area and surrounded by rural protected area. • What that means to me and my neighbors, it means I don’t have to get up and listen to a bunch of noise, except for the noise that was already there when we bought our house. • The quiet and wildlife will go away. That is not rural protection. • Now that protection is being considered to be taken away for the financial gain of one company to the detriment of all of us landowners. • The gentlemen who came to speak in favor of the request don’t live here. <p>Put yourself in our place. Would you like it to be you, if you lived where we live? I don’t think so.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment.</p> <p>See response #20 & 21.</p>
12.	Alison O’Sullivan, Suquamish Tribe	<p>Summary of attached letter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The County needs to inventory mineral resources that currently exist throughout the County and all current mines (working, inactive, and abandoned). • This would provide a more comprehensive understanding of the current status of mineral resources and mining in Kitsap County to foster better decision-making that is a more protective of natural resources and will 	<p>Thank you for your comment. The Department recognizes the need to complete a county-wide inventory of mineral resource lands. However, state and local law allow for landowner-initiated requests.</p> <p>See response #20 & 21.</p>

#	Name, Org	Comment	Staff Response
		<p>result in better use of resources in areas that have already been disturbed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This will also prevent the random development of mines throughout the county while considering the cumulative impacts on-site as well as on a watershed and county-wide scale. • Making a significant zoning change without fully understanding and field verifying the environmental constraints on the property is concerning. • The onsite stream documented as non-fish needs to be field verified by WDFW and the Tribe. GIS data should only be used as preliminary information and should always be field verified before making regulatory decisions. 	
13.	Roma Call, Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe	<p>Excerpt from attached letter: Amendment 18-00490 (Culbertson) proposes to change the rural protection area to a mineral resource overlay. This amendment would result in a net loss of rural protection land use for the purpose of mineral resource extraction. The County should deny the adoption of this amendment as proposed, make aggregate extraction a conditional use in the mineral resource overlay zone and complete a county-wide mineral resource inventory consistent with Land Use Policy 78.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The proposed amendment will not remove the Rural Protection zoning classification from the site. The Mineral Resource Overlay (MRO) temporarily limits the type of land uses that can develop to protect commercially significant mineral resources important for the planned growth in the County from becoming inaccessible. Unlike other types of land use designations, the MRO can only occur in areas where mineral resources exist.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hard rock resources are more limited than gravel and sand resources in Kitsap County (see Staff Report Attachment C8). • MRO designations currently exist in the Rural Protection zone elsewhere in the County; and • Aggregate extraction is an allowed use, with a conditional use permit, in the Rural Protection zone.

#	Name, Org	Comment	Staff Response
30.	Ryan Ransavage, Miles Sand & Gravel	<p>Summary of attached letter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The conditional use permit proposed by the county seems burdensome considering the overall lack of mineral lands designated within the county. • Kitsap County currently has 29 active sand and gravel or hard rock mines. Of these, four are operated by Kitsap County Public Works, and only approximately 6-7 facilities are operating and exporting material at this time. • Per capita usage in Western Washington is estimated at 10.55 tons per capita, equating to an expected demand of 2.9 million tons in 2020 and a total use of 65 million tons over the next 20 years. • Kitsap County has the duty to update the Mineral Lands designation with new information. • Mineral Lands, unlike Forest and Agricultural Resource Lands, only exist in areas that contain the resource. We cannot grow gravel. • Any additional requirements established for Mineral Lands should also be required of Agricultural Resource Lands and Forestry, as none of the resource lands can be prioritized or neglected against compared to the others. • Miles believes the best way to address community input and aggregate supply would be to determine aggregate reserves within the county. This process would include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Hiring a third-party geology firm to determine aggregate location and reserves within the county ○ Designate all areas with aggregate resources ○ Revise municipal code to support designation of new mineral lands ○ Meet with stakeholders to take input from interested parties 	<p>Thank you for your comment. The Department recognizes the need to complete a county-wide inventory of mineral resource lands. However, State law and Kitsap County Code allows for landowner-initiated requests.</p> <p>A county-wide mineral resource inventory would not evaluate project-specific impacts of a land use that can be very difficult to site. The conditional use permitting process, will provide an opportunity for public participation throughout the permit review process and consideration of project-specific environmental impacts.</p>
31.	Phil Struck, Struck Environmental (for applicant)	<p>Summary of public hearing testimony:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I am an environmental planning consultant and I prepared the application for this amendment. • I am here to ask for your support and approval of the proposal. • The applicant agrees with the staff's recommendation and associated 	<p>Thank you for your comment. The Department appreciates the additional information you provided regarding the Culbertson site-specific amendment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Your letter indicates that the site is not located within the Gorst Creek watershed or a Category I Critical

#	Name, Org	Comment	Staff Response
		<p>conditions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The property has a significant mineral resource, which is relatively limited throughout the County. • The proposal is consistent with the requirements of the Growth Management Act for designating mineral resource lands. • Regarding compatibility with the surrounding area: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Mining has occurred in the immediate vicinity for over 30 years. ○ Comprehensive monitoring of air, water, blasting, and noise has shown the existing operation is in compliance with all applicable regulations. ○ Over 80% of the subject site boundary is shared with industrial or commercial forest land. There is a small segment in the NW corner that is rural protection, however the majority is a very compatible land use designation. • A through conditional use permit process will happen in the future, which the applicant believes will happen 5 or more years from today. • In response to questions from Commissioner Garrido: The site currently uses Sherman Heights Road, West Belfair Highway, and West Sam Christopherson Ave to access Highway 3. <p>Summary of attached letter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Culbertson site is not located in the Gorst Creek watershed. It is in the contributing basin of an unnamed stream that discharges directly to Sinclair Inlet. • The Culbertson site is not located in or adjacent to a Category I Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA). The site is located outside the Category I CARA and City well head protection zones. • The Culbertson Site is not located adjacent to the “Bremerton Watershed”. A portion of the site is located adjacent to Bremerton utility lands; however, these lands are commercial forest and not part of the City’s water supply watershed which is in the Gorst Creek and Union River watersheds to the south. • Any future expansion proposal would include additional traffic 	<p>Aquifer Recharge Area. Map 1 in Attachment A1 of the staff report indicates the site is located within the Gorst Creek watershed. The environmental constraints on the property will need to be field verified and evaluated when a project-specific development plan is proposed for the site.</p>

#	Name, Org	Comment	Staff Response
		<p>impact analysis and associated mitigation measures to ensure traffic to and from the site is safe and does not create unacceptable level of service delays.</p>	
32.	<p>Brody Oakley, J.A. Jack & Sons, Inc. (for applicant)</p>	<p>Summary of attached letter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kitsap Reclamation & Materials (KRM), an ACG Materials company, strongly favors and encourages the Kitsap County Commissioners to approve the request to add a Mineral Resource Overlay (MRO). • Approval would serve as an important next step in designating mineral resources of significance and would serve as an important step in future land use planning for the site and the area. • The amendment would support the employees who live in the community and the many customers performing work in the area whether it be the residential consumer, county, or construction company working to advance economic development. • ACG Materials will continue to illustrate or desire to be responsible in our approach to business since acquiring the existing operations at the end of May 2018. • We are committed to working with each of the jurisdictions, neighbors, and stakeholders to improve upon existing and future activities. 	<p>Thank you for your comment. The Department appreciates the additional information you provided regarding the Culbertson site-specific amendment.</p>
33.	<p>Chris Hatch, ACG Materials (for applicant)</p>	<p>Summary of public hearing testimony:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Since ACG has acquired the operations at KRM, we are excited to be a part of this community and operate in this particular area. • This is an extraordinary opportunity to add to the existing adjacent operation. • Adding the mineral resource overlay is really one step in planning for additional opportunities with regard to mineral extraction. • Questions with regard to what kind of operation should be sited there is a question really suited to a conditional use permit process in the future, when the issues raised by many in this room already speak to some of the externalities which would inevitably be addressed through a permitting process. • We believe that a responsible approach to doing business in our existing operations and any operations on the adjacent parcel, which is under 	<p>Thank you for your comment. The Department appreciates the additional information you provided regarding the Culbertson site-specific amendment.</p>

Board of County Commissioner Consideration
Comment Matrix

#	Name, Org	Comment	Staff Response
		<p>consideration this evening, is to consider how that parcel may be used by way of mineral extraction with existing operations there.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We would encourage you to consider that this as an initial step in a much larger opportunity to examine and further mitigate some of the externalities that have been identified. • ACG looks forward to working with both our neighbors and the jurisdiction and other stakeholders to identify ways to do our business better and to be more effective. 	

Attachment A

Robin Gail Schwering
P O Box 4267
Bremerton, WA 98312

October 21, 2018

Dear Kitsap County Dept. of Community Development,


This letter serves as a statement on how I feel about adding a Mineral Resource Overlay for land owner Roland Culbertson on West Sherman Heights Road.

When I purchased my home in July 2017 I loved the quiet rural feeling of this neighborhood. Seeing deer walk around and eagles flying by from their nest was a joy. I bought this home as a retirement place to spend my last years as I am terminally ill. I purchased this home for my daughter because of her love of nature and the quiet it would provide for me. I fear that if the Overlay is put in place the quiet will be gone for sure and so will the nature. The noise and dust caused by the blasting would affect property values. Health conditions could also become worse due to all of the dust. I feel the County allowed a bait and switch on a property that cost over a quarter million dollars. This just doesn't seem fair. Who wants to live with blasting going on in their back yard area, not me.

I have looked on County websites to see if there is a need for the expansion. I found no specific need. I understand North Kitsap has an area that can help with any needed quarry items. I feel more research needs to be done before thinking of making this change. If a need is documented then maybe a smaller area could be slated to have the Overlay so it would not impact my neighbors on Sand Dollar Road.

I beg the County to leave our neighborhood the way it is so we can all continue to enjoy the peace and nature we currently have. I ask you to think about how you would feel if this was happening in your home area before making a decision.

Feel free to contact me if further information is needed. My phone number is 360 – 377 – 1848. Thank you for your consideration of my feelings

Sincerely,

Robin Gail Schwering



October 31, 2018

Peter Best
Senior Planner
Kitsap County Department of Community Development
619 Division St MS-36
Port Orchard, WA 98366

RE: Comments on Kitsap County Mineral Lands Regulation Change

Mr. Best:

Miles Sand & Gravel Company (Miles) appreciates the ability to comment on these important permitting changes. The conditional use permit proposed by the county seems burdensome considering the overall lack of mineral lands designated within the county. The citizens of Kitsap County would benefit from a review of the current code and comprehensive plan requirements in regards to mineral lands designation.

Kitsap County currently has 29 active sand and gravel or hard rock mines (WSGS, 2018). Of these, four are operated by Kitsap County Public Works, and only approximately 6-7 facilities are operating and exporting material at this time. Per capita usage in Western Washington is estimated at 10.55 tons per capita, equating to an expected demand of 2.9 million tons in 2020 and a total use of 65 million tons over the next 20 years (Finnie & Peet, 2003). At this time, there does not appear to be enough aggregate in the county to support projected county growth.

Additionally, the County is required to designate and protect natural resources where they exist. RCW 36.70A.040 (3) (b) states:

“the county and each city located within the county shall designate critical areas, agricultural lands, forestlands, and mineral resource lands, and adopt development regulations conserving these designated agricultural lands, forestlands, and mineral resource lands and protecting these designated critical areas, under RCW 36.70A.170 and 36.70A.060;”

The current Mineral Resource Overlay is primarily composed of mines that existed in 1995 when the MRO designation was first implemented by the County. Other areas are under public ownership, within the Green Mountain-Stavis Natural Resource Conservation Area, which are planned for conservation and non-motorized recreational use. The possible development of these areas into mineral resource areas is extremely unlikely. The few remaining areas under MRO designation are not sufficient for the County's future needs.

Furthermore, Kitsap County has the duty to update the Mineral Lands designation with new information from the Washington State Geologic Survey, the United States Geological Survey, and other sources per

36.70A.131 (1). Mineral Lands, unlike Forestry and Agricultural Resource Lands, only exist in areas that contain the resource. We cannot grow gravel. The Department of Natural Resources has published near complete surface geology mapping of Kitsap County and this code update would be an excellent opportunity to update the Mineral Lands designation.

Finally, with the exception of a comprehensive plan amendment, there is not a pathway to add areas into the MRO. Currently mineral lands are designated on a parcel by parcel basis within Kitsap County. Thurston County, which implemented the same type of parcel to parcel zoning, lost an appeal to the Growth Management Hearings board in 2010 (Case NO. 10-2-0020c). This has resulted in a review of data to designate mineral lands where the resources are located. Lastly, any additional requirements established for Mineral Lands should also be required of Agricultural Resource Lands and Forestry, as none of the resource lands can be prioritized or neglected against compared to the others.

We look forward to working with the County on any future changes and would be happy to provide additional input on any of the topics mentioned. Below we have a few bullet points on what the county could do in order to include all stakeholders.

Recommendations

- Miles believes the best way to address community input and aggregate supply would be to determine aggregate reserves within the county. This process would include:
 - Hiring a third party geology firm to determine aggregate location and reserves within the county
 - Designate all areas with aggregate resources
 - Revise municipal code to support designation of new mineral lands
 - Meet with stakeholders to take input from interested parties (RCW.70A.050)

Respectfully,



Ryan Ransavage
Environmental

Citations

Finnie B., Peet J., 2003, The Aggregates Industry in Washington Economic Impact and Importance, Pacific Lutheran University, School of Business, pp28

Washington State Geological Survey (WSGS), 2018, Active Surface Mine Permit Sites, Washington State Department of Natural Resources; <https://www.dnr.wa.gov/programs-and-services/geology/publications-and-data/gis-data-and-databases>, 10/18/2018

Struck Environmental, Inc.

P.O. Box 2168, Poulsbo, WA 98370

TEL | 360-710-8661
EMAIL | phil@struckenv.com
WEB | StruckEnv.com

Submitted Via Email to CompPlan@co.kitsap.wa.us

October 31, 2018

Kitsap County Board of Commissioners
614 Division Street, MS-4
Port Orchard, WA 98366

Subject: Proposed Culbertson Mineral Resource Site Specific Comprehensive Plan Amendment 18-00490, Additional Information Submitted in Response to Public Hearing Comments, Critical Aquifer Recharge Area and Traffic Impacts

Dear Commissioners:

This letter is being submitted to you in response to public comments and Commissioner Garrido's question at the October 29, 2018 public hearing on the above referenced site-specific amendment proposal. Struck Environmental, Inc. (SEI) is providing this letter on behalf of the applicant KRM Quarry, Inc.

RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COMMENT REGARDING CRITICAL AQUIFER RECHARGE AREA

An adjacent residential land owner expressed concern that the site was located in the Gorst watershed, portions of which are designated as a Category I Critical Aquifer Recharge Area due to permeable soils and presence of City water supply wells. The following information is provided in response to this comment:

The Culbertson site is not located in the Gorst Creek watershed. It is located in the contributing basin of an unnamed stream that discharges directly to Sinclair Inlet. This stream is not connected to Gorst Creek or the Gorst Creek watershed. Refer to attached Exhibit I.

The Culbertson site is not located in or adjacent to a Category I Critical Aquifer Recharge Area. The critical aquifer recharge areas (CARAs) associated with the Gorst Creek basin are all located south of the Culbertson property. The site is located outside the Category I CARA and City well head protection zones. Refer to attached Exhibit II.

The Culbertson Site is not located adjacent to the "Bremerton Watershed". A portion of the site is located adjacent to Bremerton utility lands; however, these lands are commercial forest and not part of the City's water supply watershed which is located in the Gorst Creek and Union River watersheds to the south. Refer to attached Exhibit III.

Kitsap County Board of Commissioners
October 31, 2018
Page 2

RESPONSE TO SPECIFIC QUESTION REGARDING TRAFFIC ACCESS TO SR3 AND SR16

A question was raised regarding traffic access from the site to State Route (SR) 16. Traffic impacts at the quarry were studied in 1995, 2006, 2009 and 2011 as part of various permit and zoning proposals. Traffic improvements to-date have included widening Sherman Heights Road, improving the site access approach, constructing a right turn lane and installing signs and lights, all consistent with the requirements of Kitsap County Public Works. There have been no accidents (to the owner's knowledge) due to truck traffic at the site in over 20 years of operation. Any future expansion proposal would include additional traffic impact analysis and associated mitigation measures to ensure traffic to and from the site is safe, and does not create unacceptable level of service delays.

In closing, we hope this letter helps to clarify the proposal relative to critical aquifer recharge areas and traffic issues.

Thank you for your consideration. If you have any questions or need any information, please contact me any time.

Sincerely,
STRUCK ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.



Phil Struck
Principal

Attachments

cc: Liz Williams, Kitsap County DCD
Peter Best, Kitsap County DCD
Brody Oakley, KRM Quarry






EXHIBIT I. Unnamed Stream Location.
Culbertson Mineral Resource Overlay
Comprehensive Plan Amendment, October 2018



**EXHIBIT II. Category I Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas
Culbertson Mineral Resource Overlay
Comprehensive Plan Amendment, October 2018**

Exhibit III. City of Bremerton Well Head Protection Areas and Utility Lands.



File: K:\proj\file\Bremerton\2011\1164306_CorridorPlanMap\BremLU7\1164306_CorridorPlanMap.mxd



October 31, 2018

Kitsap County Board of Commissioners
614 Division Street, MS-4
Port Orchard, WA 98366

**Subject: Proposed Culbertson Mineral Resource Site Specific Comprehensive
Plan Amendment 18-00490**

Dear Commissioners,

Kitsap Reclamation & Materials (KRM), an ACG Materials company, strongly favors and encourages the Kitsap County Commissioner's to adopt the recommendation of Kitsap County staff and approve the request to add a Mineral Resource Overlay (MRO) to the Culbertson property adjacent to its existing operations.

Approval of the request would serve as an important next step in designating mineral resources of significance to the County and would serve as an important step in future land use planning for the site and the area. The request would assist the KRM site in exploring options for mineral extraction that could allow the operation to continue in the years to come. This would clearly not only support the employees who live in the community but also benefit the many customers performing work in the area whether it be the residential consumer, county, or construction company working to advance economic development.

ACG MATERIALS

1550 Double Drive
Norman, Oklahoma 73069
405-366-9500
acgmaterials.com

Lastly, ACG Materials will continue to illustrate our desire to be responsible in our approach to business. Since acquiring the existing operations at the end of May 2018, ACG Materials has made important strides in the ongoing planning and changes for the KRM site. We are committed to working with each of the jurisdictions, neighbors, and stakeholders to improve upon existing and future activities. This work will be vital in terms of determining the scope of and best management practices that apply. We are a community-minded employer that takes pride in our work and the relationships we develop with others, and we are committed to being a good neighbor. We thank the Kitsap County Commissioners for their consideration and we look forward to more opportunities to engage the surrounding community.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Brody Oakley', with a large, stylized initial 'B'.

Brody Oakley

General Manager

J.A. Jack & Sons (*An ACG Materials Company*)

Imperial Limestone (*An ACG Materials Company*)

Kitsap Reclamation & Materials (*An ACG Materials Company*)

ACG MATERIALS

1550 Double Drive
Norman, Oklahoma 73069

acgmaterials.com