

Table of Contents

Chapter 1. Summary.....	1-1
1.1. Purpose of Proposed Action	1-1
1.2. State Environmental Policy Act and Growth Management Act Process.....	1-2
1.3. Public Involvement.....	1-2
1.4. Proposed Action, Alternatives, and Objectives	1-3
1.4.1. Objectives	1-3
1.4.2. Proposed Action and Alternatives	1-4
1.5. Major Issues, Significant Areas of Controversy and Uncertainty, and Issues to be Resolved.....	1-13
1.6. Summary Matrix of Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	1-14
1.7. Natural Environment	1-15
1.7.1. Earth	1-15
1.7.2. Air Quality	1-17
1.7.3. Water Resources (Surface and Ground).....	1-20
1.7.4. Plants and Animals	1-26
1.8. Built Environment.....	1-33
1.8.1. Land and Shoreline Use.....	1-33
1.8.2. Relationship to Plans and Policies	1-40
1.8.3. Population, Housing, and Employment	1-46
1.8.4. Cultural Resources.....	1-52
1.8.5. Aesthetics	1-54
1.8.6. Transportation.....	1-62
1.8.7. Noise.....	1-67
1.9. Built Environment: Public Services and Utilities	1-71
1.9.1. Public Buildings.....	1-71
1.9.2. Fire Protection.....	1-73
1.9.3. Law Enforcement	1-75
1.9.4. Parks and Recreation.....	1-77
1.9.5. Schools	1-80
1.9.6. Solid Waste.....	1-84
1.9.7. Wastewater	1-85
1.9.8. Stormwater.....	1-89
1.9.9. Water	1-91
1.9.10. Energy and Telecommunications.....	1-93

1.9.11. Library	1-95
Chapter 2. Alternatives	2-1
2.1. Introduction	2-1
2.2. Purpose of Plan	2-1
2.3. Description of the Plan Area	2-2
2.4. Environmental Review	2-3
2.4.1. Purpose of this FEIS	2-3
2.5. GMA and SEPA Process	2-5
2.5.1. Integrated Plan and EIS	2-5
2.5.2. Public Review Opportunities	2-6
2.5.3. Prior Environmental Review	2-10
2.5.4. Level of Analysis	2-11
2.5.5. Phased Review	2-12
2.5.6. Future Use of Document	2-12
2.5.7. Silverdale Sub-Area Mixed Use and Residential SEPA Exemption	2-12
2.6. Development of Alternatives	2-12
2.6.1. Planning Process and Development of Alternatives	2-12
2.6.2. Proposal Objectives	2-18
2.6.3. Description of Alternatives	2-19
Chapter 3. Affected Environment, Significant Impacts, and Mitigation Measures	3-1
3.1. Natural Environment	3.1-1
3.1.1. Earth	3.1-1
3.1.2. Air Quality	3.1-2
3.1.3. Water Resources (Surface and Ground)	3.1-3
3.1.4. Plants and Animals	3.1-6
3.2. Built Environment: Land Use and Transportation	3.2-1
3.2.1. Land and Shoreline Use	3.2-1
3.2.2. Relationship to Plans and Policies	3.2-8
3.2.3. Population, Housing, and Employment	3.2-11
3.2.4. Cultural Resources	3.2-16
3.2.5. Aesthetics	3.2-16
3.2.6. Transportation	3.2-19
3.2.7. Noise	3.2-36
3.3. Built Environment: Public Services and Utilities	3.3-1
3.3.1. Public Buildings	3.3-1

3.3.2. Fire Protection.....3.3-2
 3.3.3. Law Enforcement3.3-3
 3.3.4. Parks and Recreation.....3.3-5
 3.3.5. Schools3.3-6
 3.3.6. Solid Waste.....3.3-9
 3.3.7. Wastewater/Sewer3.3-9
 3.3.8. Stormwater.....3.3-11
 3.3.9. Water Supply3.3-12
 3.3.10. Energy and Telecommunications3.3-13
 3.3.11. Libraries3.3-14
 3.4. Mitigation Measures3.4-1

Chapter 4. Clarifications or Corrections to DEIS Information..... 4-1

Chapter 5. Comments and Responses 5-1

5.1. Government Agencies, Tribes, Special Districts, and Utilities 5-2
 5.2. Citizens, Businesses and Property Owners5-28
 5.2.1. North Kitsap5-28
 5.2.2. Central Kitsap5-46
 5.2.3. South Kitsap.....5-58
 5.2.4. Unspecified5-100
 5.3. Interest Groups5-104
 5.4. Public Hearings.....5-153
 5.4.1. September 18, 2006.....5-153
 5.4.2. September 20, 2006.....5-156
 5.4.3. September 21, 2006.....5-162
 5.4.4. October 23 and October 25, 2006.....5-167

Chapter 6. Acronyms, Abbreviations and References..... 6-1

6.1. Acronyms and Abbreviations 6-1
 6.2. References..... 6-7
 Chapter 1 Summary and Chapter 2 Alternatives..... 6-7
 Chapter 3 Affected Environment, Significant Impacts, and Mitigation
 Measures 6-8

List of Tables

Table 1.4-1.	Alternatives Comparison.....	1-5
Table 1.4-2.	Overview of Alternatives: Unincorporated Kitsap County	1-9
Table 1.4-3.	Population Allocation and Capacity of UGAs under Each Alternative.....	1-11
Table 2.5-1.	Kitsap County Integrated SEPA / GMA Plan and DEIS	2-6
Table 2.6-1.	Kitsap County Population Projections: 2000–2025.....	2-15
Table 2.6-2.	Countywide Employment Forecast.....	2-17
Table 2.6-3.	Alternatives Comparison.....	2-21
Table 2.6-4.	Overview of Alternatives: Unincorporated Kitsap County	2-25
Table 2.6-5.	Population Allocation and Capacity of UGAs under Each Alternative.....	2-27
Table 2.6-6.	Summary of Upzones, UGA Boundaries, and Land Use Reclassification Requests under the Alternatives.....	2-29
Table 2.6-7.	Employment Capacity of Alternatives: Countywide Totals.....	2-30
Table 2.6-8.	Future Land Use Acreage of Alternatives.....	2-30
Table 2.6-9.	Consolidated Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map Designations.....	2-31
Table 2.6-10.	Potential Regulation Topics – Address in 10-Year Update/EIS Analysis.....	2-33
Table 3.2-1.	Summary of Land Use Designations for the Preferred Alternative and Alternative 2	3.2-3
Table 3.2-2.	Developable Land under the Preferred Alternative.....	3.2-5
Table 3.2-3.	Preferred Alternative Population Accommodation	3.2-12
Table 3.2-4.	Estimated Owner and Renter Housing in UGAs by Alternative	3.2-13
Table 3.2-5.	Preferred Alternative Housing Need and Demand Comparison	3.2-14
Table 3.2-6.	Summary of Countywide Travel Statistics	3.2-20
Table 3.2-7.	Projected Roadway Segment Deficiencies by 2025	3.2-21
Table 3.2-8.	Projected Intersection Deficiencies by 2025.....	3.2-22
Table 3.2-9.	Projected Silverdale Roadway Segment Deficiencies by 2025	3.2-22
Table 3.2-10.	Projected Silverdale Intersection Deficiencies by 2025	3.2-22
Table 3.2-11.	Projected State Highway Deficiencies by 2025	3.2-23
Table 3.2-12.	Locations of Recommended Roadway Improvements by 2025.....	3.2-25

Table 3.2-13. Locations of Recommended Roadway Improvements in Silverdale by 20253.2-27

Table 3.2-14. Summary of Cost of Roadway Improvements Recommended by 20253.2-28

Table 3.2-15. Summary of Cost of Roadway Improvements in Silverdale Sub-Area Recommended by 2025.....3.2-28

Table 3.2-16. Summary of Revenue Projected through 2025.....3.2-29

Table 3.2-17. Summary of Revenue Shortfall through 2025.....3.2-29

Table 3.2-18. Potential Strategies to Achieve Balance among Transportation LOS, Financing, and Land Use3.2-30

Table 3.3-1. Preferred Alternative—Government Facilities LOS Comparison3.3-2

Table 3.3-2. Preferred Alternative Estimated Fire Unit LOS and Need.....3.3-3

Table 3.3-3. Preferred Alternative Law Enforcement LOS Comparison3.3-4

Table 3.3-4. Level of Service and Facilities Needs for Parks, Open Space, and Trails under the Preferred Alternative.....3.3-5

Table 3.3-5. Estimated Enrollment and Capacity in Affected School Districts — Preferred Alternative and Alternative 2.....3.3-7

Table 3.3-6. Estimated Enrollment and Capacity in the Silverdale Sub-Area —Preferred Alternative and Alternative 2.....3.3-9

Table 5.1-1. Agency Letters..... 5-2

Table 5.2-1. North Kitsap Citizen, Business and Property Owner Comment Letters5-28

Table 5.2-2. Central Kitsap Citizen, Business and Property Owner Comment Letters5-46

Table 5.2-3. South Kitsap Citizen, Business and Property Owner Comment Letters5-58

Table 5.2-4. Kitsap Citizen, Business and Property Owner Comment Letters: Unspecified Locations5-100

Table 5.3-1. Interest Group Comment Letters: Unspecified Locations5-105

List of Figures

- Figure 2.1-1 Base Map—Incorporated Cities, UGAs, LAMIRDs, Tribal and Military Lands
- Figure 2.6-1. Draft Comprehensive Plan Map—Preferred Alternative North
- Figure 2.6-2. Draft Comprehensive Plan Map—Preferred Alternative South
- Figure 2.6-3. Changes to Future Land Use—Preferred Alternative North
- Figure 2.6-4. Changes to Future Land Use—Preferred Alternative South
- Figure 2.6-5. Differences between Preferred Alternative and Alternative 2—North
- Figure 2.6-6. Differences between Preferred Alternative and Alternative 2—South
- Figure 2.6-7. Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map—North
- Figure 2.6-8. Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map—South
- Figure 3.2-1 Development Capacity—Preferred Alternative North
- Figure 3.2-2 Development Capacity—Preferred Alternative South
- Figure 3.2-3 Projected Deficient County Roadway Segments—Preferred Alternative
- Figure 3.2-4 Projected Deficient State Roadway Segments—Preferred Alternative

List of Appendices

- Appendix A Public Involvement Summary
- Appendix B Updated Land Capacity Analysis for Preferred Alternative
- Appendix C Reasonable Measures
- Appendix D Methods
- Appendix E Transportation
- Appendix F Port Orchard/South Kitsap Sub-Area Plan/EIS Final Response to Comments