KITSAP COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION			
Administration Building - Commissioner's Chambers			
September 24, 2019 @ 5:30 pm			
made reade http:/	eminutes are intended to provide a summary of meeting decisions and, except for motions a should not be relied upon for specific statements from individuals at the meeting. If the r would like to hear specific discussion, they should visit Kitsap County's Website at //www.kitsapgov.com/dcd/pc/default.htm and listen to the audio file (to assist in locating mation, time-stamps are provided below).		
	oers present: Kim Allen (Chair), Aaron Murphy (Vice Chair), Amy Maule, Joe Phillips, Richard uck, Jim Svensson, Mike Eliason		
Meml	pers absent: Gina Buskirk		
Staff p	oresent: Darren Gurnee, Dave Ward, Liz Williams, Amanda Walston (Clerk)		
	5:30:34		
A.	Introductions		
B.	Adoption of Agenda		
	 Motion: Jim Svensson moves to adopt the agenda as presented 		
	Second: Joe Phillips		
	 Vote: Unanimous – Motion carries 		
C.	Approval of Minutes		
	 Chair Allen notes a proposed revision from the Clerk to revise page 3 lines 35-37 and page 6 lines 15 and 19, attributing comments to Planning Commissioner Amy Maule. 		
	 Motion: Aaron Murphy moves to approve the revised minutes of 09/17/19 		
	Second: Joe Phillips		
	 Vote: 6 in Favor, 1 abstention – Motion carries 		
	5:33:50		
D.	General Comment:		
	Chair Allen opens the floor for general comments.		
	SPEAKER: Hank Anderson, Silverdale, WA		
	 Somewhat against the rezone request on Dickey Road to change the zone 		
	from Industrial to Urban Low, it's a poor location and doesn't make good		
	sense in the long run to reduce acreage potentially available for industrial		
	use in Silverdale.		
	 Chair Allen clarifies any testimony here is for informational purposes and will not be included as part of the official record or comments for 		
	the project. To be included in the official record, all comments must		
	be submitted to the planning department or brought before the		
	Planning Commission (PC) during a Public Hearing.		
	 Mr. Anderson notes the Dickey Road item is the only site-specific rezone planned and will come back to relay comments on record for the project. 		

1 2	E.	Work Study: Zoning Use Table Update – Liz Williams, DCD Planning & Environmental Programs (PEP) Planner				
3 4 5		 Ms. Williams provides a brief presentation regarding the Zoning Use Table, including updates from the previous meetings and referencing materials provided, noting the updated review schedule for formal adoption now extends through June of 2020. 				
6		 After PC and other feedback, revisions have been made, including: 				
7 8		 Maker Space is revised to Shared Work/Maker Space, and the definition expanded. 				
9		 Wedding Facilities is broadened to Event Facilities. 				
10 11		 Airport Services is included in the Airport use, not broken out as a categorical use within. 				
12		 Food Truck is changed to Mobile Vendor; can include mobile retail, others. 				
13 14		 Transitory accommodations are proposed to be included in the Use Table; framework of categorical uses. 				
15 16		 Recycling Centers is removed from the Manufacturing and Fabrication use and is listed as a stand-alone use. 				
17 18 19 20		 Ms. Williams clarifies both transfer stations and processing facilities fall under Recycling Centers; onsite dumpsters and drop boxes for newspaper, clothing, etc. are an accessory use to the particular land use for the store or establishment where the box is located. 				
21		5:44:01				
22 23 24		 QUESTION: Mr. Phillips asks why Laundromat is being moved to Personal Services, when the other listed uses all require licenses, such as barber shop, salon, massage and pet grooming. 				
25 26 27 28 29		 ANSWER: Ms. Williams notes the current definition states 'an establishment providing frequent or current needed services of a personal nature' and intent was to try and eliminate individual listing of services and lump them with other similar uses where possible; the consideration given here was traffic patterns/levels with customers coming and going for periods of time. 				
30 31 32 33 34		 Amy Maule asks about environmental considerations, noting the actual process of dry-cleaning is very toxic. Even in Seattle, very few process on site, instead contracting out to large industrial facilities. In the case of a small-scale business that contracts out, this use would match but you would not want a large-scale commercial processor in this zone. 				
35 36		 Mr. Phillips notes that a drop-off and pick-up later also is different than the other listed businesses, which perform services onsite at time of request. 				
37 38		 Dave Ward, DCD PEP Manager, notes intent was to include recurring services seek as part of everyday living. 				
39 40 41		 Chair Allen agrees looking at this from a traffic perspective it would be a personal service; also agrees with Ms. Maule's distinction between small- scale and commercial/industrial levels of service. 				
42 43		 QUESTION: Mr. Svensson asks why grouping Convalescent Care into Nursing Home hospital is proposed. 				

1 2 3		 Ms. Williams notes originally it was to be included in small, assisted living facility. Based on discussion at the last PC meeting, the stay was viewed as temporary, so Nursing Home seemed more similar.
4 5		 Discussion continues regarding hospitals or nursing homes that may provide convalescent care, and small-scale clinics.
6		5:57:42
7	•	Mr. Phillips notes the Conference Center definition currently states it provides
8		overnight accommodations, but usually it's about rooms for lectures or classes.
9 10		 Ms. Williams agrees that may be an important distinction as resort also includes accommodations in its definition.
11 12		 Mr. Phillips asks, and Ms. Williams confirms, building safety requirements would be different when housing/accommodations are involved.
13 14		 Mr. Murphy notes Conference & Events Center is commonly heard, maybe combine to allow flexibility.
15		6:05:00
16		QUESTION: Mr. Phillips asks about the definition for Research Laboratory.
17		Considerations needed beyond size; a research facility could analyze data, but a
18		laboratory may involve biochemical or radiological activity. How is that determined?
19		What size and requirements are needed?
20 21		 Mr. Murphy notes International Building Code (IBC) look at shell of building and intended use for a reasonable fit for a plot of land.
22		 Chair Allen asks if a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) will be required for all.
23 24 25		 Ms. Williams notes this use is permitted outright in many smaller Commercial zones, prohibited in most zones other than Commercial Industrial and conditionally allowed in Rural Industrial.
26 27		 Discussion continues regarding the wide range of differences between uses based on size, subject of research or study, industry.
28		 Chair Allen notes there should be some level of public process for each.
29 30		 Mr. Murphy believes going from prohibited to permitted is too large a gap; maybe push back to an Administrative Conditional Use Permit (ACUP).
31		 Chair Allen agrees with full CUP for the largest category; ACUP for smaller.
32		Mr. Phillips also agrees with ACUP, as it does allow for important
33		informative steps for the public.
34		6:14:43
35	•	QUESTION: Richard Shattuck notes a gap code for data centers. Two groups have
36		recently asked about it and don't see a use or zone where it would fit.
37		 ANSWER: Mr. Ward will research, but notes a data/call center is typically a
38		more intensive use than most offices or a warehouse; also, may be different
39		if it was a server farm.
40		 Ms. Maule does work with server farms, can speak on Data Centers. They
41		are typically low employment, not a lot of people there; they do have
42		backup generators that are tested frequently, and noise studies are

1 2	performed. They are very loud and like air conditioning units and are impactful to neighbors. They are common in Eastern Washington;
3	Industrial areas would be best, though some smaller ones could be located
4 5	in other areas, if they had smaller equipment. They are very different from a call center.
6	 Mr. Phillips notes Data Centers also have very high electricity use, so power
7	requirements are substantial. Excluding from residential might be best.
8	 Mr. Ward notes distinctions between data processing, data storage center
9	and call center are needed, based on discussion here.
10	6:21:45
11	 Ms. Williams reviews Attachment 2, proposed framework for Use Table & footnotes,
12	noting definitions are located in Chapter 17.110.
13	 Proposal to add a column on right of the table, to show a section reference for
14	categorical definitions and use standards. User will be able to click a link that will take
15	them to that definition/standard.
16	 Mr. Phillips asks if hyperlinks would go both ways, if looking at Standards,
17	would they also be linked to take me to the Use Table?
18	 Ms. Williams will work on it; Mr. Ward notes the Code Publishing service the
19	County uses provides a lot of linking capabilities not yet utilized. We are
20	looking into what is available.
21	 Ms. Williams notes this is a proposed, stand-alone chapter that lays out all standards
22	by use and pulls up example of code with each use as a separate chapter, and all
23	standards listed – instead pf a footnote in a table, it would stand alone in the chapter.
24 25 26 27	 Currently, if someone wants to see Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU), they look at the Use Table for where it's allowed, then in Footnotes that reference a different section, then there may be special provisions in another location. With proposed framework, you would open one new location, that lists all the standards, provisions, etc
28	 QUESTION: Chair Allen asks if wireless and marijuana regulations will remain
29	separate?
30	 ANSWER: Ms. Williams notes marijuana will be incorporated, but wireless
31	will be separate as it is still new. There has also been discussion of keeping
32	Agriculture (AG) code separate.
33	 There will be a year of limbo, as footnotes will still be included in the
34	Dimension and Density tables, until we look at revising that, next year.
35	 QUESTION: Mr. Shattuck asks why design standards that no longer exist are being
36	referenced or included in the Comp Plan?
37 38 39	 ANSWER: Ms. Williams notes the integration of some design standards within the zone chapter itself, that reference design standard tables. This new zoning chapter will be codified.
10	 Mr. Shattuck notes we are including these in the Comp Plan but they are
11	irrelevant. So a person coming to DCD would see these and think they have
12	to meet the code, but they do not.

1 2		 Mr. Ward notes this is a recognized issue, and an interim plan could be developed if needed. 			
3		 Mr. Shattuck requests discussion on this topic at a different time. 			
4		6:36:05			
5	ent is no .	Aaron Murphy excuses himself from the meeting, as arranged prior.			
6		Ms. Williams notes the proposal for separate headings bucketed under the category			
7		name; such as the category is Dwellings, with separate headings underneath for			
8		Existing, Duplex, etc.			
9	•	QUESTION/ANSWER: Chair Allen asks, and Ms. Williams confirms, all are even			
10		numbered, which follows the code format Kitsap County has always used.			
11	•	Ms. Williams notes Zone Standards will also be hyperlinked to any Special Provisions.			
12		 Mr. Ward notes the intent is, between this update and next year's 			
13		Dimension and Density Table update, the only remaining footnotes will be			
14		clarifying, not substantive or containing any requirements.			
15		6:39:20			
16	•	Ms. Williams reviews the schedule, noting it is now slightly behind, with community			
17		workshops and stakeholders group scheduled for November-December and			
18		department analysis, reports and public comment period on December-January.			
19		Return to the PC in January-February and on to the Board of County Commissioners			
20		(BoCC) in April with adoption set for June.			
21	•	QUESTION: Ms. Williams asks if the PC would like another work study or update prior			
22		to January.			
23		ANSWER: Chair Allen asks how busy the schedule ahead is for other items.			
24 25		 Mr. Ward notes the Comp Plan schedule has also been delayed and will likely go to the BoCC in late January. 			
26 27		 Chair Allen asks to see any new/revised standards for uses prior to January, with changes redlined, or a crosswalk guide for summary of changes. 			
28		 Mr. Ward notes the summary of changes will be a living document to carry 			
29		through the process. Tools, tables and keys will be created to provide			
30		training to staff who will be applying new changes, and the public. We need			
31		to break down the changes clearly for everyone.			
32	•	Mr. Eliason notes the staff has done a great job to this point. Information and			
33		communication have been excellent, well-informed.			
34	•	Mr. Ward notes the Department recognizes there are inconsistencies, like some			
35		design standards, and others, in code. A complete overhaul was evaluated, and after			
36		discussion with other jurisdictions, it would take a huge investment of time, energy			
37		and dollars, and it was not recommended.			
38		Mr. Shattuck raises the point the we are now incorporating these design the design and also in the Comp Plan, but they are still no			
39 40		standards into code here and also in the Comp Plan, but they are still no good. The design standards in West Hills in Silverdale are suspended. So all			
40 41		we can tell people is, we just use the most restrictive requirements if there			
41 42		are conflicts. Why can't we say, it is flawed and we do not want it included			
43		here to continue the use of suspended standards?			

1 2		 Mr. Svensson suggests, and Mr. Shattuck agrees he would be happy to, have a conversation with the Commissioner for the Central district. 				
3 4 5		 Chair Allen asks, and Ms. Williams confirms, the design standards are currently included in the code, with a reference to come into DCD to get a copy of them. We are trying to make them more readily available in this 				
6		update, but they are already codified.				
7		 Chair Allen acknowledges that when design standards are codified, it is an 				
8 9		enormous, challenging process in keeping them updated current and everywhere. Redmond kept them by reference, not codified, and it was				
10		easier to make changes as they went.				
11						
12	F.	Administrative Update: Dave Ward, DCD PEP Manager				
13		 No new or major updates since last week's meeting. 				
14						
15	G.	For the Good of the Order				
16 17		 Mr. Eliason asks, and Mr. Ward confirms, there is no update on an Assistant Director yet but coming soon. 				
18		 Mr. Phillips asks about the proposed GMA changes from the 2018 CPA update. 				
19		Mr. Ward notes staff is processing one site-specific and several County-				
20		sponsored amendments. We are behind schedule for several reasons.				
21		 Chair Allen asks, and Mr. Ward confirms the next major CPA update is set 				
22		for 2024. There is some legislative discussion on changing it to align with				
23 24	Puget Sound Regional Counil (PSRC) counties, and/or the census cycle, which would be 2025. The County is advocating that. Ruckelshaus report					
25	also recommended alignment.					
26	Mr. Phillips is concerned with current proposed revisions. The site-specific montioned by the specific transfer was didn't even know it was serving. The					
27 28		mentioned by the speaker tonight, we didn't even know it was coming. The				
29	PC had asked for a briefing on what was coming forward and why, and to have those decisions or the reasons and considerations in selecting them					
30		explained to us here, beforehand.				
31		 Mr. Ward notes changing the way the PC is brought into the 				
32 33		discussion would have to rewind it way back. There was a briefing on the final docket, which came after the initial proposed docket, then				
34		the BoCC decides what will be included in the final docket we are				
35		working from now.				
36		 Mr. Ward notes some review of calendaring is happening. Other 				
37 38		jurisdictions have set times and schedules, but Kitsap does not, which makes staffing and workload planning difficult as well.				
39		 Chair Allen notes a quarterly look at what is coming in terms of 				
40 41		workload and deadlines would be helpful to staff, PC and the public.				
41 42		Why doesn't Kitsap define a timeframe? • Mr. Ward notes we surrently we only have the Comp Blan Believ				
42 43		 Mr. Ward notes we currently we only have the Comp Plan Policy reference to a mid-point review, but staff would prefer the 8-year 				
44		cycle, and more predictability following adoption of the Comp Plan,				

1 2	then 2 years later we do this, then 2 years later do this, then we begin readying for the next update, which takes place 2 years later.
3 4	 Mr. Shattuck and Chair Allen ask to have the design standards, UGA expansions and the process of scheduling updates for the Comp Plan
5	added to a parking lot matrix for further discussion.
6	
7	Time of Adjournment: 7:04:15 pm
8	17th O
9	Minutes approved this 17th day of 2019.
10	
11	
12	Kim Allen, Planning Commission Chair
13	
14	Mark
15	Amanda Walston, Planning Commission Clerk