1		KITSAP COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
2		Administration Building - Commissioner's Chambers
3		February 20 th 2018 @ 5:30 pm
4 5 6 7 8	made reade <u>http:</u> /	e minutes are intended to provide a summary of meeting decisions and, except for motions , should not be relied upon for specific statements from individuals at the meeting. If the er would like to hear specific discussion, they should visit Kitsap County's Website at /www.kitsapgov.com/dcd/pc/default.htm and listen to the audio file (to assist in locating nation, time-stamps are provided below).
10 11		pers present: Gina Buskirk (Chair), Robert Baglio (Vice-Chair), Karanne Gonzalez-Harless, Aaron hy, Joe Phillips, Tom Nevins, Spencer Stegmann, and Jim Svensson
12	Mem	<u>pers absent</u> : Richard Shattuck
13 14		oresent: Eric Baker, Jim Bolger, Louisa Garbo, Darren Gurnee, Lisa Nickel, Dave Ward, Liz ms, Amanda Walston
15		05:31:07
16	A.	Call meeting to Order, Introductions
17	В.	Adoption of Agenda
18		 Motion: Tom Nevins moves to adopt Agenda as presented.
19		 Second: Jim Svensson seconds.
20		 Vote: 8 in favor; 0 opposed – motion carries.
21	C.	Approval of Minutes
22 23		 Motion: Joe Phillips moves to approve the minutes from the 01/16/18 Planning Commission meeting as presented.
24		• Second: Aaron Murphy seconds.
25		 Vote: 8 in favor; 0 opposed; – motion carries.
26		05:34:07
27 28	D.	Growth Management Act Case Law Update – Lisa Nickel, Kitsap County Deputy Prosecuting Attorney
29 30		 Lisa Nickel presents an update on GMA Case Law. Shelley Kneip presented the last update in 2013.
31		Court decisions discussed regarding Rezones include:
32		 Kittitas County v. Kittitas County Conservation (August 2013)
33		 Spokane County v. EWGMHB (September 2013)
34		 Schnitzer West, LLC v. City of Puyallup (October 2016)
35		 City of Airway Heights v. EWGMHB (April 2016)

1	 Spokane County v. EWGMHB (January 2013)
2	 Court decisions discussed regarding Resource Lands include:
3	• Futurewise v. GMHB (December 2013)
4	 Concrete Nor'west v. WWGMHB (February 2015)
5	 Save Our Scenic Area v. Skamania County (June 2015)
6	 Concerned Friends of Ferry County v. Ferry County (December 2015)
7	 Court decisions discussed regarding Critical Areas and Shorelines include:
8	 Ferry County v. GMHB (September 2014)
9	 Protect the Peninsula's Future v. GMHB (February 2015)
10	• Common Sense Alliance v. GMHB (2015)
11	Olympic Stewardship Foundation v. WWGMHB (2017)
12	Additional court decisions discussed include:
13	 Essential Public Facilities: Sleeping Tiger, LLC v. City of Tukwila (2013)
14	 Public Participation: Spokane County v. EWGMHB (2015)
15	 Water Rights: Whatcom County v. Hirst (2016) & Fox v. Skagit (2016)
16	 Fixing Invalidity: Miotke v. Spokane County (2014)
17 18	 Fixing Noncompliance: Friends of White Salmon River v. Klickitat County (2015)
19	 Docket consideration: Coyne v. GMHB (2016)
20	06:19:45
21	E. Transitory Accommodations Briefing – Eric Baker, Kitsap County Special Projects Manager
22 23	 Eric Baker presents information on the proposed changes to the Transitory Housing Accommodations Code.
24 25 26	 Intent is to provide a stopgap housing option for short-term homelessness, not a long-term option. Safe, secure places to stay while finding other housing.
27 28	 Last year the Planning Commission passed code allowing Transitory Accommodations in urban areas only.
29 30	 Robert Baglio asks for clarification of strikeout language changes in the materials provided
31 32	 This proposed change will strike out the words 'urban areas' and allow then to be incorporated county wide.
33 34	 The word 'membrane' is struck out and should read 'membrane, wood, metal' which was intended all along, but did not come through in the text.

1	 Expanding from urban to county-wide opens up a number of different
2	options such as churches, residential properties, vacant land, etc.
3	 Demand is higher for urban real estate right now.
4	 Interest has been received from some larger scale churches in
5	unincorporated areas, as well as some individuals who desire to allow
6	use of their land for transitory accommodations.
7	 The homeless population is not decreasing, it is here and Kitsap County and
8	its citizens are affected by it.
9	 One major reason for this kind of temporary homelessness is rent or living
10	expense increases. Most are gainfully employed, but the availability of
11	affordable housing has put them in a temporary crisis.
12	 Aaron Murphy asks for clarification of 'temporary housing' as defined by Code
13	 A term of 6 months is approved with certain allowances for a 6-month
14	extension, these are allowed through Conditional Use Permitting.
15	 Temp nature of occupants here, it doesn't have specificity, but it is not
16	defined as to the condition, features included.
17	 Aaron Murphy asks about assurances to neighboring parcel/land owners, and if
18	Public Nuisance/RCWs are in place to address these
19	 Adherence conditions of approval, codes of conduct and other
20	requirements can be addressed through Code Enforcement, but not likely to
21	be 'one and out.'
22	 Sanitary service, garbage, sewer and safety requirements are also in place.
23	 Gina Buskirk asks if there is a reason that the code of conduct does not address or
24	prohibit things like drug use.
25	 The guidelines lean toward allowing the provider/host agency to determine
26	the requirements for their site.
27	 Tried to avoid placing total exclusionary restrictions to a substantial
28	population that needs help.
29	 Gina Buskirk asks if there is any prohibition/restriction on financial transaction, or
30	exchange for use – if not classified as rent, but maybe fees, shared costs, etc.
31	 That exchange is not disallowed, often the payment is 'sweat equity' in that
32	every participant has different jobs toward the common good,
33	maintenance, etc.
34	 Sometimes small fees to help keep up with costs is allowed.
35	 Public Hearing and Deliberations are scheduled for the next regular
36	meeting's agenda. We expect some homeless advocates and housing
37	solutions staff will be here to answer questions and speak.
38	• Findings of Fact may be prepared and signed at this meeting if appropriate

1		 Robert Baglio asks if any applicants are waiting to move forward
2		 3-4 organizations have expressed interest as well as joint endeavors from Housing Kitsap, Homes for All, Kitsap Continuum of Care Coalition.
4 5		 Gina Buskirk asks if there were any applicants under the previous code change, allowed for urban areas
6 7 8		 A few, but none have gone all the way through the process. One was almost complete, but an offer to buy the property was accepted, so the application was withdrawn.
9		6:40:00
10	F.	Comprehensive Plan Docket Update Briefing: Peter Best, DCD Staff Planner
11 12		 Peter Best describes the Comprehensive Plan Amendment Docket process, as planned, as well an update on actions/progress so far.
13		 The Growth Management Act (GMA) specifies reviews for the process.
14 15		 A full review required every 8 years, and an annual review at the discretion of the Board of County Commissioners.
16 17		 We are currently in development phase, for site specific applications and county sponsored amendments. Analysis is the next step.
18 19		 Intent to bring the actual process before the Planning Commission in July, with a scheduled to keep it in line with next year's annual process window.
20		 2018 County sponsored amendments include:
21		Non-motorized Facilities Plan
22		Kingston Urban Village Center
23		 George's Corner LAMIRD boundary adjustment
24		 Public Facility Designations and Park Classification
25		 Parks and Recreation and Open Space Plan Updates
26		Affordable Housing Policies
27 28		 Aaron Murphy asks for clarification, whether George's Corner was a site-specific review from the prior year.
29 30 31 32 33		 Yes, a portion was. The boundary was drawn as one parcel, short platted into 4 parcels, with boundaries driven largely by Critical Area buffers. 4th parcel, mostly south, was a site-specific application in 2016 that was not approved. This proposes to withdraw the boundary to adjust around the parcel, with an area for exchange.
34 35 36 37		 Tom Nevins notes in 2016, there was concern that much of the area did not comply with GMA at the time. Additionally, other areas in the County would also qualify that have never been considered before – just a point that this is a proposed change on an already shaky adjustment.

1	 Peter Best notes that law requires use and application of the same
2	standards. The staff report will address and examine these points in
3	depth.
4	• 2018 allowed site-specific applications include:
5	 In Rural Areas: opportunity to add Mineral Resource Overlay (MRO)
6	and Forest Resource Land (FRA) designations.
7	 In Urban Growth Areas (UGAs): A re-designation is needed for infill
8	and redevelopment; acquisition of Transfer of Development Rights
9	(TDRs) are required for certain applications in UGAs.
10	 Of the 5 applications received, 2 require TDRs for a total of 13 TDRs
11	across all the applications.
12	 Outreach includes an online Open House Webpage that has been
13	maintained and updated regularly, with opportunities for participation, next
14	steps, etc. and interested parties can subscribe to future notifications.
15	6:58:20
16 G. 17	Code Update Process Briefing: Dave Ward, DCD Planning & Environmental Programs Supervisor
18	 Dave Ward presents information regarding the Code Update Process.
19	 Intent is to increase transparency and visibility and to keep the schedule
20	and process moving forward.
21	 Many proposed changes have come through suggestions or requests from
22	permit applications and review processes, ranging from small one-time
23	projects to multi-year development and actions.
24	 The proposed list has grown and changed since we the initial version
25	presented in Fall.
26	 A form has been created that allows anyone to get online and add a
27	suggestion that will be added to our list for consideration and evaluation.
28	 Dave Ward provides information on DCD's proposed Ad Hoc Advisory Committee, and
29	asks for two members of the Planning Commission to serve as representatives.
30	 Temporary committee designed to evaluate the list of ideas and suggestions
31	and determine what can and should move forward. Then developing criteria
32	for prioritization that we can run the potential list through so further
33	refinement and scheduling details can be put in place.
34	 Going forward, the committee would re-examine the criteria, determine
35	needed updates and changes.
36	 This is not meant to be a huge undertaking every year, but the first step will
37	be more intensive – a sample schedule is included in your materials.
38	 Tom Nevins has already volunteered via email prior to the meeting, Aaron
39	Murphy and Joe Phillips also volunteer.

Kitsap County Planning Commission Minutes - February 20th 2018

1 2		 After discussion, Tom Nevins and Joe Phillips will represent the Planning Commission on the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee.
3		7:06:45
4	н.	Administrative Update: Jim Bolger, DCD Assistant Director
5 6		• Standard reporting format, and a streamlined process for Deliberations and Findings of Fact are coming forward.
7 8		 Information about the Department Advisory Group (DAG) is provided, as requested by the Planning Commission.
9 10 11 12		 Information is provided regarding the Hirst Decision results and impacts of House Bill 6091, now titled the Watershed Restoration Act – which designates us to be in Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) District 15. Two of the resulting requirements go to Public Hearing before the BoCC on 02/26/18.
L3 L4 L5		 The first limits the amount of water that can be drawn from any permit exempt wells to 950 gallons per day. If a state of emergency drought is declared, a further reduction to 350 gallons per day may be imposed.
16 17 18 19		 The second establishes a \$500 fee to be collected – of that, \$350 goes to the Department of Ecology and the County can keep the \$150, but it is unknown yet if that has specific ties/direction for spending, and also what the impact of that amount will be to offset costs, etc.
20 21		 Changes have been added to our Building Code, Complete Application review step and Fee Schedule.
22		Discusion/Clarifications:
23 24		 This is not a new permit, just a new fee included in the building permit required for permit-exempt wells.
25		This is not retroactive.
26		 Conditions must be recorded into the property records.
27 28		 Average household usage, estimated by the Health District, is 350 – 400 gallons per day.
29 30		 No metering is established at this time – however there has been discussion of looking into the process, functionality down the line.
31 32 33 34 35		 Additional planning elements require the department to convene a Water Restoration Management Committee that includes members representing Counties, Purveyors, Tribes, Community, Development, Environmental, Agricultural to advise and provide input on projects going forward and make recommendations to the Department of Ecology.
37 38 39		 If the restoration committee cannot come to a decision on a project, it will move forward to the Salmon Review Board, then tot the Department of Ecology. There must be an approved plan that comes

1 2	from this in the end – other past attempts have been unsuccessful, but this is required.
3 4	 A WRIA District 15 boundary map will be sent to the Planning Commission.
5 6 7 8	 The Ruckelshaus Center, a joint UW/WSU collaboration looking at effects of GMA now that it has been in place for a few decades, will host a meeting on 3/27 at the Norm Dicks Center, to gather input from local community and advisory groups including planning commissions.
9 10 11	 This is a great opportunity for Kitsap County to share its experiences with the MGA and Growth Management Hearings Board, information on the meeting will be forwarded.
12 13 14	 At the next meeting, staff will present a draft of the 2017 Annual Planning Commission report for review, suggested changes and hopefully approval and move that on to the Board.
15	I. For the Good of the Order:
16	• N/A
17	Time of Adjournment: 7:30:54
18	
19	Minutes approved this 13 day of
20	
21	
22	Gina Buskirk, Planning Commission Chair
23	
24	Manch (C)
25	Amanda Walston, Planning Commission Clerk