

Appendix H
Worksheet for Tier 2 of Prioritization Framework

The worksheet shown below is an example of a spreadsheet to use to evaluate restoration projects based on a set of criteria including the following:

- change in ecosystem function as a result of the project
- probability of success
- size
- cost.

Information on the probability of success comes from the results of the stressor-based GIS model developed as part of this nearshore assessment. The equations for the Analysis Scores for A and C are as follows:

A) Each column is summed and the total are multiplied by a weighting factor as follows:

$$\text{Change in Function Score} = \text{Preserved} * 1 + \text{Increased} * 2 + \text{Decrease} * 0.01 + \text{No Change} * 0.1 + \text{Unsure} * 0.01 + \text{NA} * 0.01 / 20$$

The total potential sum (10) times 2 is 20 resulting in a total possible score of 1.

B) Each column is summed and the total are multiplied by a weighting factor as follows:

$$\text{Predicted Success Score} = \text{High} * 2 + \text{Moderate} * 1 + \text{Low} * 0.1 + \text{Unsure} * 0.01 / 18$$

The total potential sum (9) times 2 is 20 resulting in a total possible score of 1.

The criteria are discussed in detail in the main body of the report

Project Score = (function change x size x probability)			high=.67 to 1	mod=.34 to .66	low=0 to .33
Project Analysis Results			Prioritization Framework Data		Notes
Project Name	Project A		Site No.	100	Site ID
Project Score	0.48	Moderate	Location		
Functional Area (acre)	80.0		Drift Cell Score	0.8	
Score x Area	38		Site Controlling Factor Score	0.2	
Cost/Project Score	\$314,581		Site Process Score	0.75	
Cost/Functional Acre	\$1,875				

A. Analysis of change in function, process, value

Functions	Preserved	Increase	Decrease	No change	Unsure	NA
Primary production		1				
OM Flux		1				
Sediment Trapping				1		
Nutrient Processing				1		
Flood Attenuation		1				
Food Web Support		1				
Opportunity					1	
Capacity		1				
Natural Complexity		1				
Natural Biodiversity		1				
Sum Score	0	7	0	2	1	0
<i>Analysis score</i>	0.71					

This value used to calculate project score

B. Analysis of change in size of functional area

Total Area of project	100
Area where function restored or preserved	80
<i>Proportion of Total Area</i>	0.80

This value used to calculate project score

C. Analysis of predicted success of project

Factor	High	Moderate	Low	Unsure	
Case studies	1				Conducted successfully many times
Restoration strategy	1				Strategy in line with recommended management option for site
Habitat forming processes	1				Drift cell processes are intact
Landscape features	1				Site processes are in good shape
Site condition			1		Highly degraded
Adjacent habitat condition	1				Adjacent sites appear in good shape
Self-maintenance	1				High because of process scores
Resilience	1				High because of process scores
Time frame		1			Moderate due to level of site damage
Sum Score	7	1	1	0	
<i>Analysis score</i>	0.84				

This value used to calculate project score

D. Analysis of cost

Factor	
Planning	\$ -
Land	\$ -
Implementation	\$ -
Monitoring	\$ -
Management	\$ -
Other	\$ -
Total Cost	\$ 150,000
Matching funds	\$ -
<i>Cost</i>	\$ 150,000

This value used in cost/acre