1	KITSAP COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION			
2	Zoom Webinar			
3		https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82372768825		
4		Dial In: (253) 215-8782 Webinar ID: 823 7276 8825 Passcode: 537517		
5	September 21, 2021 @ 5:30 pm			
6 7 8 9 10	These minutes are intended to provide a summary of meeting decisions and, except for motions made, should not be relied upon for specific statements from individuals at the meeting. If the reader would like to hear specific discussion, they should visit Kitsap County's Website at <a href="http://www.kitsapgov.com/dcd/pc/default.htm">http://www.kitsapgov.com/dcd/pc/default.htm</a> and listen to the audio file (to assist in locating information, time-stamps are provided below).			
11 12	Plani	ning Commission (PC) Members present: Joe Phillips (Chair), Amy Maule (Vice Chair), Alar		
13 14	Beam, Danielle Douthett, Kari Kaltenborn-Corey, Mike Eliason, Stacey Smith, Steven Boe, Aaron Murphy			
15 16	<u>Department of Community Development (DCD) Staff present</u> : Darren Gurnee, Angie Silva, Liz Williams, Amanda Walston (Clerk)			
17		5:30 pm		
18	A.	Introductions		
19	В.	Virtual Meeting Protocol		
20	C.	Adoption of Agenda		
21		<ul> <li>MOTION: Mike Eliason moves to adopt the agenda as presented.</li> </ul>		
22		SECOND: Alan Beam		
23 24 25 26		<ul> <li>MOTION TO AMEND: Chair Phillips moves a friendly amendment, in order to proceed to the public hearing more quickly, to combine and hear all general public comments under agenda item G, and postpone approval of the minutes to the next regular meeting.</li> </ul>		
27		SECOND: Aaron Murphy		
28		<ul> <li>VOTE (on Amendment): UNANIMOUS in Favor – Motion Carries</li> </ul>		
29				
30	D.	Adoption of Minutes		
31		POSTPONED TO NEXT REGULAR MEETING		
32	E.	General Public Comment		
33		Combined with Item G		

1		5:35 pm		
2	F.	PUBLIC HEARING: Zoning Use Table Update – Melissa Shumake, DCD PEP Planner		
3		(approx. 60 min)		
4 5		<ul> <li>Ms. Shumake provides a brief review of the project, including timeline, status, and public participation plan, of which tonight's public hearing is a part of.</li> </ul>		
6		Chair Phillips reviews testimony guidelines, including speaker protocol.		
7 8		• <b>MOTION:</b> Chair Phillips moves to extend the comment period through Friday 9/24/2021 at 5pm.		
9		SECOND: Alan Beam		
LO		• DISCUSSION:		
l1		<ul> <li>Mr. Eliason asks what the impact on staff will be.</li> </ul>		
L2 L3 L4		<ul> <li>Ms. Williams notes it will be a time crunch; staff will transmit all comments to PC prior to the 10/5 meeting; extending comment will delay staff responses to the day prior or day of meeting.</li> </ul>		
L5 L6		<ul> <li>Chair Phillips asks, and Ms. Williams confirms, deliberations are planned for 10/5 and 10/19.</li> </ul>		
L7 L8		<ul> <li>Mr. Eliason intends to vote against the motion based on staff response; suggests compromise extending through tomorrow at 5pm.</li> </ul>		
19 20 21		<ul> <li>Mr. Beam asks, and Ms. Williams confirms 15 comments have been received in the past 30 days, some with large attachments, some are multi-faceted and responses do take time to prepare.</li> </ul>		
22		<ul> <li>Amy Maule suggests extending the deadline to midnight.</li> </ul>		
23		<ul> <li>VOTE: 2 In Favor; 7 Opposed – Motion Fails</li> </ul>		
24 25		<ul> <li>MOTION: Mike Eliason moves to extend the comment period deadline to through Wednesday 9/22/2021 at 11:59pm.</li> </ul>		
26		SECOND: Aaron Murphy		
27		• DISCUSSION:		
28 29		<ul> <li>Mr. Eliason asks staff if extending the comment period would allow staff to get all comments and responses to the PC prior to 10/5.</li> </ul>		
30 31		<ul> <li>Ms. Williams confirms all comments would be provided on 9/28, all DCD responses will be provided prior to the 10/5 meeting.</li> </ul>		
32		<ul> <li>VOTE: Unanimous in Favor – Motion carries</li> </ul>		
33		Chair Phillips opens the floor to speakers wishing to provide testimony.		
34		5:50 pm		

#### SPEAKER: William Palmer 1 2 • Objects to the time provided to submit comments; the document posted to the website back in July, what was available on the website 3 was a 197 page document; what is available today appears to be a 4 5 315 page document; notes his review was based on the 197 page document, and now has over 100 more pages to look at and only has 6 7 until tomorrow to review it; believes the given time frame is 8 ridiculous, he might as well forget about the Planning Commission 9 and save comments for the Board of County Commissioners; he is highly incensed. 10 • Believes this is the most confusing document he has reviewed in 20 11 12 years; has several comments for several reasons which he will outline and submit in two letters; there are things included in the ordinance 13 not subject to change but the public doesn't know what they are and 14 15 yet some things underlined to indicate they will be changed; 16 eliminated things in Port Gamble but there is no tracking on sections 17 415, but also included things in 415 without showing where it came 18 from and no assigned numbers for tracking; SPEAKER: Dick Brown 19 20 Believes it has been made so complicated it takes hiring engineers 21 and attorneys to figure out what you're doing; the PC is supposed to 22 represent the public and he doesn't see that anymore; disappointed 23 that this has been allowed to go forward and believes the public would not be able to do anything for themselves without hiring a 24 consultant; says the PC originally represented the people, believes 25 26 they now represent the staff. 27 5:55 pm 28 SPEAKER: Regina Adamson, Founder of the Kitsap Public Market 29 Has been working for 2 years trying to get property rezoned to open 30 and operate the Kitsap Public Market as she was unaware that the zoning was not compatible for the location when the permit was 31 32 applied for and the property owner was also not aware that it had been rezoned at the time of purchase. 33 34 Chair Phillips defers to Staff; Ms. Silva notes staff has reached out and 35 will continue to work with Ms. Adamson, also noting the process pertaining to Ms. Adamson's situation is site specific. 36 37 5:59 pm 38 SPEAKER: Carrilu Thompson, Manchester resident, member of the **Manchester Citizen Advisory Committee** 39

This feels like a rushed process; the community has not had an opportunity to work through all the changes; we were told at a minimum there would be very few change to the LAMIRDs (Limited Area of More Intensive Rural Development); but reading through the final proposal, there are a lot of changes, and they affect the direction, feeling, fabric of Manchester in terms of what will and can be developed in or by the community; we would like more time; one Zoom call does not really warrant an acceptance of the changes; sent a letter in testimony and made comments about some changes; is very concerned that the neighborhoods where the changes could happen and believes they are not being included; believes it is extremely important for the community to be involved and they need more time.

### 6:01 pm

## SPEAKER: Lisa Hurt, area resident for 55 years

Is shocked that when buy property it's zoned a certain way and has
learned through these that changes are proposed; has read in the
local paper about new development and higher density proposed;
doesn't believe that is the quality of life people come here for or what
they want; rudimentary bike trails don't exist for riders who have to
ride on the roads now; regarding proposed ease of permitting for
changing zoning, believes there should be stricter permitting
processes and a need to protect for cleaner water.

## 6:03 pm

## SPEAKER: Margaret Tufft

• Agree with other speakers; did submit a short comment today, but notes there were so many pages to go through she couldn't submit much more; she and others she knows feel like even when they do submit comments they receive a pat on the head but then it gets done anyway; believes the natural world needs protection especially in the LAMIRDs, the wetlands are getting impinged on; also if more is built, we don't have the infrastructure; there are just more cars and more people and we aren't even taking care of what we already have as it is much less to add on; things are looking more like Seattle with traffic; to be more specific, more time needs to be spent; we need to see numbers on what is going to happen; give us facts, not just a proposal we can't do anything about.

### 6:06 pm

## SPEAKER: Mary McClure, Port of Kingston

1	Port of Kingston has submitted comments regarding Zoning and the
2	Port of Kingston; the importance cannot be overstated; the Port
3	recognizes the change that is coming and the role in the
4	enhancements that are coming and the Port needs the flexibility in
5	what is allowed on the Port property in order to help the citizens; this
6	addresses the pivotal moment that is coming to Kingston with the
7	passenger and fast ferry; your support for the change coming to
8	Kingston is needed;
9	SPEAKER: Craig Commissioner of Port of Kingston
LO	<ul> <li>Thanks DCD Staff; notes the Port was able to navigate the proposal</li> </ul>
<b>L1</b>	and the draft effectively; looking for a definition be added for an
L2	Urban Port; important in order to get it on the board for the next
13	round of Comp Plan updates; looking to incorporate in the next 20
L4	years; this would help us get ready for that eventual Port overlay,
15	assist with permit review; would also like to see two additional uses
L6	allowed, under Conditional Use Permitting process allowing for plenty
L7	of public oversight: a change to allow in the urban low density
L8	residential zone to allow the Auto Recreational Vehicle/Boat Rental
19 20	Use, as well as the Marina Support Services Use; being a Port District and owning waterfront property, it would be advantageous to put
20	that into play in the Kingston; agrees there has been a lot of
22	opportunity here.
23	Chair Phillips calls for any other speakers; Mr. Beam suggests allowing
24	additional time for previous speakers; Hearing no objections, and as time is
25	permitting, Chair Phillips allows an additional 3 minutes per speaker.
26	Stacey Smith notes she submitted comments to the record for this project.
27	6:14 pm
28	SPEAKER: Lisa Hurt
29	<ul> <li>Notes we live in a beautiful environment, and wants to know what</li> </ul>
30	the PC sees as the eventual plan for this area; reads about the high
31	number of building sites going in and questions the lack of functional
32	mass transit, road congestion and with each dwelling adding two cars
33	will impact everyone and the highways; bikes are impacted because
34	highways are so full; think about water and infrastructure before
35	bringing in hordes of people and how it affects the quality of life.
36	6:16 pm
37	SPEAKER: Carrilu Thompson
38	<ul> <li>Reiterates understanding that COVID restrictions have prevented in</li> </ul>
39	house meetings, but does believe that further community review is

1 2 3 4 about what should be expanded or not. 5 6 6:17 pm 7 **SPEAKER: William Palmer** 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 confusing. 15 16 17 18 they should be open for review. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 6:21 pm 30 31 **SPEAKER: Margaret Tufft** Speaking for herself, but as a member of the Kitsap Environmental 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39

40

still needed; notes these changes will change the structure and fabric of these areas especially LAMIRDs; without a better community discussion, people will be surprised at what can go in next door to them; intent is not to prohibit, but to have a community discussion

- Still regarding procedural issues; in the 197 page document, some proposed changes are either in bold text, underlined or both, but there is a lot of text not bold or underlined; one example is multiple family design regulations; found some provisions to the rural area being changed, but didn't think there was anything being changed in the rural areas; based on instructions given back in July this is little
- Second, multi-family design regulations are included, asks if those are open for review, because they aren't in bold or underlined; Believes the design guidelines are problematic for many reasons and believes
- Document is confusing as to what is open for review; whether it is only the Use Table and Footnotes, just the transferred section, Title 17, Section 415; Not clear on instructions and leads to a lot of confusion on citizens who want to add comment; is glad some people found things to comment on and if some found things to support, they are not easy to find and some things are just not supportable.
- Regarding affordable housing, every time you add new regulations the cost of a single-family house, current cost is close to \$500,000 but the median income salary is nowhere close to that; while we seem to keep adding onto the cost with these regulations, we never seem to get to a point where we can eliminate any regulations.

Coalition, the group has had discussions and it seems environmental concerns aren't really being addressed; we are basically now in a climate emergency; doing the same buildings the same way isn't working anymore; would ask if the County is working with Environmental Consultants that really know their stuff because the when buildings go up, there are no landscapes or trees, nothing is left; doesn't see anyone doing it different, or looking at permeable pavement with the rains we are getting, or planning from an

38

39

40

environmental perspective to help with the quality of life for forests and nature; look at organic farming, or ways to support and help them; seems like developers have a hold on everyone; please look at a way to bring in planning ahead of time; look at Kansas and other areas who have had tornadoes come in and destroy things; please look to planning ahead.

## 6:24 pm

### • SPEAKER: Dick Brown

- Believes many people don't realize the County doesn't have any options other than to try and add things on top of the already very restrictive Growth Management Act (GMA) and until the GMA is overturned there will be no affordable housing; believes the GMA pushes people to live in urban apartments not grandfathered land outside the city limits; doesn't blame the staff, believes fault lies with the Board of County Commissioners (BoCC) and they should push for legislature to overturn the GMA; regarding housing regulations adding cost to the purchase price of house, recently spoke to a builder willing to pay \$65,000 for small lots to develop and another who no longer sells low end houses because they would rather lease them, which makes it impossible for young couples to buy affordable houses; also notes if parents want to give piece of property to their children, they still have to have \$30,000 to pay for a stormwater ditch; believes if people want more time the PC should give it to them; believes Kitsap County used to be run by the people, but doesn't see that anymore.
- Chair Phillips calls for speakers; seeing and hearing no others, closes the floor to speakers.
- QUESTION: Mr. Eliason asks staff about comments by Mr. Palmer regarding difference in document page counts; also asks about comments by Ms.
   Thompson regarding community outreach related to Manchester.
  - Ms. Williams notes there have been no changes made to documents posted to the website on 7/30/21, with the exception of the SEPA Determination, which was a separate document issued on 9/7/21; the proposed change document is still 197 pages. Ms. Silva navigates to the webpage onscreen to show the documents.
  - If you add together all the materials provided to the Planning Commission in one file for tonight's meeting, they equal 380 pages in total, so that may be where the different count is coming from.
  - Ms. Williams confirms an overview of the public participation was provided to the Manchester Citizens Advisory Council (CAC), which

1 2		included an overview of additional opportunities to attend continued outreach or to request department consultations.		
3	G.	General Public Comment		
4		Chair Phillips opens the floor to speakers wishing to provide testimony.		
5		SPEAKER: Carrilu Thompson		
6 7 8 9		<ul> <li>Comments the presentation to the Manchester CAC was a short meeting where they were told there would be little to no changes to the LAMIRDs; based on that the community believed there was no need for additional outreach or consultation; now seeing the changes, the community would like more time.</li> </ul>		
11 12		<ul> <li>Chair Phillips calls for speakers; seeing and hearing no other, closes the floor to general speakers.</li> </ul>		
13		6:33 pm		
14	н.	For the Good of the Order/Commissioner Comments		
15 16		<ul> <li>Mr. Beam asks if it the comment period could be extended at this point; Chair Phillips notes a motion would be needed.</li> </ul>		
17		• MOTION: Alan Beam moves to extend comment period to Friday 9/24/21 at 5pm.		
18		SECOND: Mike Eliason		
19		• DISCUSSION:		
20		<ul> <li>Mr. Murphy asks what the impact will be.</li> </ul>		
21 22 23 24 25		<ul> <li>Ms. Williams notes there is no statutory deadline; deliberations are set for 10/5/21 and 10/19/21 meetings, the 11/2/21 PC meeting is cancelled due to elections; pushing past Friday for the comment period is not recommended if the PC intends to complete the project by the planned December timeframe.</li> </ul>		
26 27 28		<ul> <li>Danielle Douthett asks if there is a 9/9/21 meeting; it is confirmed a special meeting could be called if availability can be arranged and special noticing rules will apply.</li> </ul>		
29		<ul> <li>VOTE: Unanimous in favor – Motion Carries.</li> </ul>		
30		6:42 pm		
31 32		<ul> <li>Mr. Beam asks if there is any tracking of the building permits for number and housing price that may show what the mix is.</li> </ul>		
33 34 35 36		<ul> <li>Ms. Silva notes DCD tracks permit information in multiple ways, but not based on the sale price, however the Kitsap County Assessor does track that information; shows on screen how to navigate to find the information based on average sales price by area; also notes the</li> </ul>		

# Kitsap County Planning Commission Minutes – September 21, 2021

2		Housing and Affordability Study by Human Services and City of Bremerton looked at some of these factors.		
3 4 5 6	•	Mr. Beam asks how this relates to diversity targets; Ms. Silva notes while information on such factors can be gathered, at point of intake, review and final permitting, information is taken in, sale price is not tracked by DCD, but is happy to discuss in more detail at a later date.		
7 8 9 10 11	•	Ms. Maule wonders if information could be estimated based on square footage or acreage; Ms. Silva notes BLR improvement are helping with that; work is being done with our SmartGov permitting database SuperUser team to increase and improve the data fields and tracking; however, sales and rental price of a unit is not tracked.		
12 13 14 15	•	Mr. Murphy notes the short answer seems to be this is outside DCD's purview; but there are a number of other locations where the data is tracked and can be found, also KEDA, KBA, outside other County departments; Ms. Douthett concurs.		
16 17 18 19 20	•	Chair Phillips note we are talking about market based pricing; we have watched a permit come in for a housing development, all of a sudden lumber and labor prices go up, so asking and expecting people's estimate to hold is disingenuous, because it will be market driven; we are not asking the government to set pricing.		
21 22 23 24 25	noting W projects little infla	on thanks speakers tonight, acknowledging it can seem frustrating; ashington has one of most complex land use systems in the country; can take from 6 months to 1.5 years; believes some language was a ammatory, having worked with the PC, wants people to knows they comments seriously and fully consider everything they say.		
26		: Amy Maule moves to adjourn the meeting.		
27		Aaron Murphy		
28		VOTE: Unanimous in Favor – Motion Carries		
29	Time of Adjournment: 6:55 pm			
30	Minutes approved this	26th day of October 2021.		
31		0		
32		Joe Feered		
33		Joe Phillips, Planning Commission Chair		
34		Am nas		
35		XIMan Usatues _		
36		Amanda Walston, Planning Commission Clerk		