	Kitsap County Planning Commission Minutes – December 1, 2020
1	KITSAP COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
2	Zoom Webinar –
3	https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86746453762
4	OR Dial In: (253) 215-8782 Webinar ID: 867 4645 3762 Password: 826291
5	December 1, 2020 @ 5:30 pm
6 7 8 9 10	These minutes are intended to provide a summary of meeting decisions and, except for motions made, should not be relied upon for specific statements from individuals at the meeting. If the reader would like to hear specific discussion, they should visit Kitsap County's Website at http://www.kitsapgov.com/dcd/pc/default.htm and listen to the audio file (to assist in locating information, time-stamps are provided below).
11	
12 13	<u>Members present</u> : Mike Eliason (Chair), Joe Phillips (Vice Chair), Alan Beam, Amy Maule, Kim Allen, Aaron Murphy, Jim Svensson
14	Members absent: Richard Shattuck
15 16	<u>Staff present</u> : Jeff Rimack, Angie Silva, Dave Ward, Liz Williams, Kirvie Mesebeluu-Yobech, Amanda Walston (Clerk)
17	5:33 pm
18	A. Introductions
19	B. Virtual Meeting Protocol
20	C. Adoption of Agenda
21	• MOTION: Kim Allen moves to adopt the agenda as presented.
22	SECOND: Joe Phillips
23	 VOTE: 7 in Favor; 0 Opposed – Motion Carries
24	D. Adoption of Minutes – 11/17/20
25	 Postponed to next regular meeting.
26	5:35 pm
27	E. General Public Comment
28 29	 Chair Eliason opens the floor to speakers wishing to provide testimony to the Planning Commission (PC) on subjects or items not listed on tonight's agenda.
30	Hearing none, Chair Eliason closes the floor.
31	5:36 pm
32 33 34	 F. Briefing: Shoreline Master Program (SMP) Update – Kirvie Mesebeluu-Yobech, Department of Community Development (DCD) Planning & Environmental Programs (PEP) Planner (est. 30 min)

1	 Angie Silva, DCD Assistant Director, introduces the County's consultant, Dan
2	Nickel, from the Watershed Company, who will provide additional information
3	during Ms. Mesebeluu-Yobech's overview and update, specifically the
4	Consistency Analysis portion.
5	 On 11/23/20, staff met with the Board of County Commissioners (BoCC) for
6	review of the materials for the SMP periodic update, a legislative process with
7	a tight schedule and June 30, 2021 deadline for completion. BoCC changes
8	have been incorporated into the materials before the PC tonight.
9	 Specific attention tonight will be brought to Attachment 1, which is a summary
10	matrix of topics and changes in the Consistency Analysis, and Attachment 2
11	which includes the Department of Ecology Checklist format.
12	5:39 pm
13	 Ms. Mesebeluu-Yobech presents overview and begins review of the
14	Consistency Analysis matrix, noting the table includes each topic, the action
15	proposed, and the originator (DCD or DOE).
16	 Topic 1 – Consistency with State Law; required amendment; from Washington
17	State (WA St.)
18	 Cite updated cost thresholds; add references and list statutory
19	amendments; revise language to include exemptions for compliance
20	with Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA); update references to 2017
21	Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO); list lakes and streams in shoreline
22	jurisdiction.
23	 QUESTION/ANSWER: Ms. Allen asks, and Ms. Silva confirms, the CAO
24	was updated in Kitsap County in 2007 and 2017.
25	 Ms. Mesebeluu-Yobech notes previous SMP included reference for
26	2007, this update will include 2017 updated language.
27	• Topic 2 – Consistency with State Law; required amendment; from DOE
28	 Revise and clarify definitions regarding development and forest
29	practices; clarify the Shoreline Management Act (SMA) is not
30	applicable to lands under exclusive federal jurisdiction; define special
31	procedures for Washington State Department of Transportation
32	(WSDOT) projects; add DOE recommended definitions; revise
33	definitions to meet Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)
34	regulations.
35	• Topic 3 – Consistency with Doe Wetland Guidance; recommended amendment;
36	from DOE
37 38	 Update SMP to align w/recent 2018 Ecology Wetland Guidance regarding calibration of wetlands.

1	 Ms. Mesebeluu-Yobech defers to Ms. Silva and Mr. Nickel; Ms. Silva
2	asks Ms. Mesebeluu-Yobech to show on the presentation screen, the
3	section of the Consistency Analysis relating to wetland scoring.
4	 Mr. Nickel notes the rating system was updated in 2017 to include
5	several wetland types throughout the State and a buffer rating
6	system to offer similar levels of protection from 2004.
7	 A 2018 recalibration found: a score of 5 was more similar to the 3 – 4
8	'low' rating, than the 6 – 7 'moderate' rating for systems such as
9	water quality or habitat functioning level.
10 11 12	• Essentially the summary of the tables, shown in Table 3-2, speaks to the number of low to moderate categories, affecting Kitsap County by moving any score previously rated at 5, to the lower level of 4.
13	 DOE doesn't publish guidance often; they made clear the
14	recalibration does not mean they are reducing the level of
15	importance of protection, only that they need a smaller level of
16	wetland buffer protection; this ensures appropriate wetland
17	protection and offers some development relief.
18 19 20 21	• Ms. Silva notes the scale and scope of potential relief for housing and economic factors would be determined on site by site, parcel by parcel basis; BoCC sees this as an opportunity to seek relief before the next update in 2025, which that prompted inclusion in this update.
22	 QUESTION: Chair Eliason asks whether the current draft does not
23	specify the SMA applicability to lands w/exclusive federal jurisdiction.
24	 ANSWER: Ms. Mesebeluu-Yobech notes it did not clarify that
25	these lands were SMA exempt; Mr. Ward notes page 8 includes
26	more detail.
27	 Chair Eliason asks, and Mr. Ward confirms that would include all
28	naval base installations.
29	5:56 pm
30	 Ms. Mesebeluu-Yobech notes the next section covers discretionary
31	amendments recommended by DCD to help clarify, provide
32	transparency, and increase functionality of the SMP
33	• Topic 4 – Definitions; discretionary amendment; from DCD
34	 Clarify definition for view blockage; currently too specific;
35	needed for internal consistency across all development
36	regulations and code.
37	 Ms. Silva notes this is a frequent request for formal Director's
38	Interpretations; Mr. Ward notes more details on page 19, row 2.

1	 Topic 4 – Miscellaneous; discretionary amendment; from DCD
2 3	 Correct spelling, grammar, scriveners' errors throughout; more details on page 24, section 4 table 5.
4	• Topic 6 – Existing Development; discretionary amendment; from DCD
5	 Increase timeline from 6 to 12 months, for rebuilding due to
6	accidental destruction, allowing for reasonable permit
7	preparation timing.
8	 Mr. Ward notes on occasion, customers have run out of time,
9	especially when inspections or fire investigation are involved.
10	 Topic 7 – Vegetation Conservation Buffers; discretionary amendment;
11	from DCD
12	 Apply buffer reduction review criteria consistently; establish
13	uses and standards in shoreline buffers and geologically
14	hazardous (geo-hazard) areas; clarify multi-use materials; clearly
15	indicate allowed uses may require shoreline permits; revise
16	language on viewing decks and platforms for Single Family
17	Residences (SFRs) to achieve intent of the SMP.
18	 Topic 8 – Water Quality & Quantity; discretionary amendment; from
19	DCD
20	 Match shoreline jurisdiction limits with Kitsap County Code
21	(KCC) Title 12 stormwater drainage provisions.
22	• Topic 9 – View Blockage; discretionary amendment; from DCD
23	 Evaluate, clarify regulations, and revise or remove diagrams
24	with limited representation for KCC 22.400.1035.
25	 Topic 10 Bulk & Dimensional Standards; discretionary amendment;
26	from DCD
27 28	 Compare and resolve discrepancies between Title 12 Zoning code and the SMP Development Standards Chart.
29	 Topic 11 – Process & Enforcement; discretionary amendment; from
30	DCD
31	 Remove Hearing Examiner requirement for standalone
32	Shoreline Substantial Development Permits (SSDP); evaluate
33	Shoreline Application Flow Chart; update minimum permit
34	application requirements in SMP; include Title 21 Land Use and
35	Development Procedure cross references where applicable.
36	 Topic 12 – Shoreline Use & Modification Standards; discretionary
37	amendment; from DCD

1 2 3 4		 Add language pursuant to recently passed State Law HB 2957 on commercial net pens; clarify minimum standards for replacement pilings, and unclear regulations regarding subdivisions near but outside shoreline jurisdiction.
5		 Mr. Ward notes more details found on page 23 row 19.
6		• Topic 13 – Special Reports; discretionary amendment; from DCD
7		Clarify, define qualified professionals.
8		6:08 pm
		·
9 10		• QUESTION: Mr. Phillips asks, when moving the time limit for rebuilding from 6 to 12 month (Topic 6) mainly because of permits or
10		damage inspections, does the customer have to apply for a new
12		permit if it goes beyond 12 months?
13		• ANSWER: Ms. Silva confirms a new permit would be required,
14		depending on when the original shoreline development was
15		constructed.
16		Mr. Phillips asks if exceptions can be made.
17		 Mr. Ward notes this doesn't apply to the zoning or full site
18		development, but the building permit side; this brings our code
19		into consistency with International Building Code (IBC); 6
20 21		months just hasn't been enough time in some cases where lengthy fire or damage investigations are needed.
22		Ms. Mesebeluu-Yobech reviews next steps including: monthly project update
23		meetings, online open house and the public comment period all launching on
24		12/17/20; a refresh will come again in January to prepare for release of the
25		proposed code amendments for public review.
26		 Communications have been sent to key stakeholders, Tribes, local groups with
27		project information, invitation to engage and provide comment, as well as
28		offers of consultations; outreach and presentation at local advisory groups also
29		begins soon.
30		 Ms. Silva notes late February – early March target for joint DOE/PC hearing;
31		with another public comment period during the BoCC's review.
32		• QUESTION/ANSWER: Mr. Eliason asks, and Mr. Ward confirms, in addition to
33		those who have opted in or subscribed to specific project updates, an email
34		will be sent out to the entire County Listserv members.
35		C.47
36	~	6:17 pm
37	G.	Briefing: Buildable Lands Program Overview – Liz Williams, PEP Planning Supervisor (est. 30 min)

1 2 3 4 5	 Ms. Williams presents a brief overview on the Buildable Lands Program (BLP) to date; noting that since the last briefing, the project announcement was distributed via GovDelivery, Next Door and in broad announcement encouraging people to sign up for project-specific updates and directing them to the project page for more information.
6	 County has compiled permit data, through the end of November, for
7	unincorporated Kitsap County and from each city jurisdiction for look back
8	trends; DCD is reviewing the data and once results are compiled, it will be sent
9	to BERK Consulting, for 3 rd party review for consistency with the County Plan
10	and mandates.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	• Looking forward to land supply and future projected growth; the County met with each city to review methodology and assumptions in reviewing land supply and adequacy to accommodate future growth with focus on how to include new DOE statute requirements; consistency and how to calculate changes going forward is critical as the County must use same methodologies. BERK is currently developing recommended approaches, to be reviewed at the next City meeting on 12/08/20.
18	 Aim is to come back to PC next meeting to look at different steps taken to
19	evaluate land supply and jurisdictional assumptions, and how to consider
20	integration of new statute requirements; prepare for technical discussion on
21	evaluating land supply, which is an important, if not exciting, conversation in
22	looking at how we size Urban Areas in preparation for the 2024 update.
23	 QUESTION: Mr. Svensson asks if the Cities are mainly in agreement with the
24	County methodology so far?
25	 ANSWER: Ms. Williams notes there seem to be comfort levels
26	regarding existing methods; but now need to consider how to
27	implement new statute requirements and how they mesh with our
28	existing methods.
29	 That is the focus of the next meeting, and some areas have been
30	identified as opportunities to incorporate those requirements;
31	assumptions used in each step can and historically have varied by
32	jurisdiction, as opposed to the steps themselves; also some questions
33	on how to handle projects currently in progress and effects while
34	analysis and determination happens.
35	6:25 pm
36	• QUESTION: Mr. Beam asks about annexation plans.
37	 ANSWER: Ms. Williams notes not much has happened since 2013,
38	with the Rocky Point area as the only coming to mind; part of this
39	review does take a look at how that impacts the trends we have or
40	will find with this newly collected data and projections.

1 2		 Mr. Beam notes it is hard to define an Urban Growth Area (UGA) if there is no urban growth.
3 4 5		 Mr. Ward notes the County does still define areas of growth, as they do extend beyond the city; there are assumptions for eventual expansion.
6 7		 Chair Eliason notes many annexation petitions have failed because areas are within the UGA do not want to become part of the cities.
8 9		 QUESTION: Chair Eliason asks if any technology, Geographic Information Systems (GIS) or map overlay improvements will come with this update.
10 11 12 13 14		 ANSWER: Ms. Williams notes new Light Detection and Ranging (LIDAR) data has provides some new information; some other jurisdictions are using SMARTGOV, which is the same permit database; grants from the Department of Commerce to develop dashboards that provide visual representation of collected data.
15 16 17 18 19		 Mr. Ward notes Kitsap County paid for the last LIDAR flight, flown by Department of Natural Resources (DNR) in late 2017-2018, which is the most recent in Western Washington. While it doesn't help necessarily in Land Use, it does give well defined imaging for streams, buffers, structures, etc., very useful on the natural resources side.
20		6:30 pm
21 22 23 24 25 26		 Ms. William notes next steps include another coordination meeting on 12/08/20; return to next PC meeting with methods and assumptions previously used; BERK 3rd provides 3rd party review, evaluation of lookback data and recommendation for assumptions of Countywide Policies and Comp Plan consistency; BoCC work Study on same considerations and Land Capacity Analysis.
27	н.	For the Good of the Order/Commissioner Comments
28		<u>Public Participation Work Group</u>
29 30		 Chair Eliason notes clarification was requested regarding expectations, asks if Ms. Silva can share outcome.
31 32 33 34 35		 Ms. Silva notes response received from 2 of 3 County Commissioners, confirming it is okay for group to solicit input from outside group, but do need to keep the number of group participants small and limited to PC members; also being mindful when taking comments on particular issues or items coming before the PC.
36 37		 Mr. Phillips notes the work group topics will be defined, so gathering input shouldn't raise flags, since they won't be in a commission

	Kitsap County Planning Commission Minutes - December 1, 2020
1 2	meeting setting and no decisions are being made; groups will present a report of findings to the PC.
3 4	 The group has not met, due to waiting for this clarification; will meet in December to help draw up a plan to help going forward next year.
5	• 2021 Regular Meeting Calendar
6 7 8 9 10	 Ms. Silva notes Legal confirmed the schedule does not require PC approval, however, when deviating from the 1st or 3rd Tuesday, additional noticing is required as a special meeting; this applies to a limited number of dates that must be shifted due to requirements for logistics, such as Elections.
11	• 2021 Chair & Vice Chair Elections
12	 Reminder that elections are scheduled for the 1st meeting in January.
13	
14	Time of Adjournment: 6:43 pm
15	
16	Minutes approved this <u>15th</u> day of <u>December</u> 2020.
17	
18	Michaelin
19	Mike Eliason, Planning Commission Chair
20 21	Amanagas
22	Amanda Walston, Planning Commission Clerk
23	