1		KITSAP COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
2		Zoom Webinar –
3		https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88224273311
4	C	PR Dial In: (253) 215 8782 Webinar ID: 882 2427 3311 Password: 212823
5		June 2, 2020 @ 5:30 pm
6 7 8 9 10	motio meet Coun	e minutes are intended to provide a summary of meeting decisions and, except for ons made, should not be relied upon for specific statements from individuals at the ing. If the reader would like to hear specific discussion, they should visit Kitsap ty's Website at http://www.kitsapgov.com/dcd/pc/default.htm and listen to the ofile (to assist in locating information, time-stamps are provided below).
12 13		<u>bers present</u> : Mike Eliason (Chair), Joe Phillips (Vice Chair), Aaron Murphy, Alan Beam, Maule, Ed Galliway, Jim Svensson, Kim Allen, Richard Shattuck
14 15		present: Angie Silva, Darren Gurnee, Dave Ward, Jeff Rimack, Liz Williams, Amanda on (Clerk)
16		5:30:00 pm
17	A.	Introductions
18	В.	Virtual Meeting Protocol
19	C.	Adoption of Agenda
20		 MOTION: Joe Phillips moves to adopt the agenda as presented
21		SECOND: Jim Svensson
22		 VOTE: 9 in Favor; 0 Opposed – Motion carries
23	D.	Adoption of Minutes
24		 5/19/20 Minutes deferred to next regular meeting
25	E.	General Public Comment
26 27		 Chair Eliason opens the floor to speakers wishing to provide testimony on subjects or items not listed on tonight's agenda.
28 29		 Chair Eliason asks and the Clerk confirms, no written testimony has been submitted.
30		 Seeing and hearing no speakers, Chair Eliason, closes the floor to speakers.
31		5:35:00
32	F.	Announcements
33		• Chair Eliason calls for comment from the Planning Commission (PC) or Staff.

1 2 3 4		 Angie Silva, Department of Community Development (DCD) Assistant Director, notes the Stormwater Design Manual Update schedule has changed, with the Work Study planned for tonight's meeting being postponed to the next regular meeting.
5 6 7		 QUESTION/ANSWER: Chair Eliason asks, and Ms. Silva confirms, comments, including the Kitsap Builders Association (KBA) comment letter, will be available for review soon.
8		5:47
9 10	G.	Work Study: Zoning Use Table Update – Darren Gurnee, DCD Planning & Environmental Programs (PEP) Planner
11 12		 Mr. Gurnee briefly reviews materials provided tonight as well as the process planned for the Work Study
13 14 15 16 17 18 19		 Mr. Gurnee notes for residential uses, density is the driver; commercial uses am for less permit review where applicable and where it makes sense. Some examples include, large scale uses in high intensity Commercial zones; small scale group facilities in Residential zones; small scale professional office in Urban High; small scale at specific intersections in Urban Low/Urban Cluster also removing the mixed use project requirement at Urban Medium for small scale service uses.
20 21 22 23 24		 Mr. Gurnee notes Parks changes will be closely reviewed as many of their projects already have components of other zones and uses; Limited Areas of More Intensive Rural Development (LAMIRDs) also being reviewed for many small scale commercial uses, and residential recreation uses prohibiting large institutional uses, such as the High School, among other items.
25		6:03
26		Chair Eliason calls for questions regarding the presentation.
27 28		 QUESTION/ANSWER: Mr. Shattuck asks, and the Clerk confirms, a copy of the presentation will be made available and posted online.
29 30 31		 QUESTION: Chair Eliason notes previous reports have been provided on comments received during process outreach, asks if questions shown reflect individuals or by consensus of the groups during the outreach sessions?
32 33 34		 ANSWER: Mr. Gurnee notes the workshop layout was three tables with three facilitators; comments are likely individual, as no direction was given to report a group consensus.
35 36		 QUESTION/ANSWER: Alan Beam asks, and Mr. Gurnee confirms, gray text indicates no substantive changes made to the zone or uses
37 38		 QUESTION: Amy Maule requests an unlocked copy that allows for individual notes – not suggested edits or changes, just personal notes during review.

1	 ANSWER: Mr. Gurnee will work with the Clerk to provide an editable
2	version for the PC that will still protect the publicly accessible version.
3	6:13
4	RESIDENTIAL USES SECTION
5 6 7 8 9	 Mr. Gurnee notes expansion and contraction in some areas; aim is for balance in terms of flexibility and keeping within the stated purposes of zones. This update does not include changing the stated purpose of the zones. Those changes will take place under the regular Comprehensive Plan Amendment (CPA) update, scheduled for 2024, however we can align these, so they are ready for the 2024 CPA update.
11	 Chair Eliason appreciates color coding in the very complex matrix.
12	 Mr. Gurnee begins review of proposed change matrix, noting red text indicates
13	changes or an addition.
14	 QUESTION: Mr. Shattuck asks if Detached ADU was considered as an
15	Administrative Conditional Use Permit (ACUP) instead of the more costly and
16	time-consuming Conditional Use Permit (CUP)?
17	 ANSWER: Mr. Gurnee notes DCD discussed changing to ACUP for
18	both Attached and Detached ADU, but that is not within the scope of
19	this project.
20	 DISCUSSION: PC asks for clarification on why it is outside the scope,
21	when there is specific discussion in the section of the proposed table
22	changes.
23	 Dave Ward, DCD PEP Manager notes the distinction is the columns
24	on the left of the table are rural zones; the changes being considered
25	in this update are to the urban zone, or in the LAMIRD. Scope
26	limitations are in place because opening everything up for discussion,
27	would push us beyond what is already a 2-year timeline and close to a
28	5-year timeline.
29 30 31	 Aaron Murphy asks if the State or Growth Management Act (GMA) prohibits reviewing the rural sections of the use table, and if so, when should they be reviewed?
32	 Mr. Ward notes potential GMA impacts if ADUs allowed are
33	unconditionally.
34	 Kim Allen agrees there may be impacts, but ACUP ADUs would reduce
35	process and cost; with affordable housing a major focus, the PC
36	would like to take it up, if not now, when will Rural uses be reviewed?
37	 Mr. Ward notes the PC can make that recommendation if they
38	choose. This scale of the process has been challenging, but rather

1 2	than opening up and reviewing and changing everything at once, we are focusing on streamlining and updating on a smaller scale.
3	 Mr. Shattuck notes one of the ways to keep housing out of rural areas
4	is to make it a big, expensive process, which will allow the County to
5	meet GMA goals; disagrees this is the way to do it, would rather fix it
6	now while we have the opportunity.
7	 Mr. Gurnee will flag these items, and valid questions, to keep track
8	and prepare for discussions during deliberations.
9	 Mr. Murphy notes at least 1/3 of the PC recommends addressing this
10	issue now, not down the road, to help support affordable housing.
11	 Chair Eliason acknowledges staff and PC comments are valid; notes at
12	the onset of the project, staff briefed us on what the scope did and
13	did not include and changing direction now may have additional
14	consequences. The issue of ACUP ADUs have also, traditionally drawn
15	high opposition, especially from Tribal and Environmental agencies.
16	6:25
17	 Mr. Gurnee reviews the proposed changes to Manufactured RV Parks; noting
18	categorical requests from workshops to maintain certain naming in
19	descriptions or definitions, for consistency with bank and financing packages.
20	 Mr. Gurnee notes changes to the Single Family Detached, Group Living, Home
21	Business; the inclusion of Permanent Transitory Accommodations was added
22	from another section of code with no changes; addition of Vacation Rentals,
23	Event Facility and Resorts, which also includes Design Standards associated
24	with events; distinctions added for Restaurants with or without drive-through
25	and the Retail section sees some combinations but not many changes.
26	 QUESTION/ANSWER: Ms. Maule asks, and Mr. Gurnee confirms, a charging
27	station is a station specifically created to charge cars, not an accessory addition
28	of charging stalls to an existing parking lot or garage.
29	 Mr. Beam asks, and Mr. Gurnee confirms, this is not an allowed use in
30	residential zones, but is permitted and appears in other zones.
31	 Mr. Gurnee continues reviewing proposed changes, including some changes to
32	Daycare, General Office, Laundromats and Laundry Services, Recreational
33	Facilities, Other Commercial Uses such as adaptive reuse of commercial space
34	and Maker spaces, also noting Shooting and Gun Facilities have been added
35	with strict legal guidance.
36	 QUESTION/ANSWER: Mr. Beam asks, and Mr. Gurnee confirms, Shooting and
37	Gun Facilities shows no permissibility in Rural and Residential; allowed in
38	Commercial Industrial, Rural Industrial, Rural Commercial, Business
39	Park/Center.

1 2	 Mr. Beam asks, and Mr. Gurnee confirms, any rural ranges currently in operation now would become a non-confirming use.
3	 QUESTION/ANSWER: Mr. Murphy asks, and Mr. Gurnee confirms, Event Facility could mean a wedding venue.
5	 Mr. Murphy notes this has been discussed, but someone owning
6	beautiful property with a big barn or rural setting might have a
7	business opportunity; could seem prohibitive, are there no rural areas
8	that could be suited?
9	 Mr. Phillips notes the neighbors around them that moved to a rural
10	area would now be stuck with a business next door.
11	 Mr. Gurnee notes permissibility is fairly consistent with what we
12	currently do; there is an opportunity to apply for an Agro-Tourism
13	permit allowing a certain number of events per year.
14	 QUESTION: Chair Eliason asks if adopting the indoor/outdoor Shooting and
15	Gun Facility changes could affect those already in operation and whether they
16	are currently under legal non-conforming status?
17 18 19 20	 ANSWER: Ms. Silva notes in the County, the Poulsbo Sportsmen Complex is currently in operation, and the Kitsap Rifle and Revolver Club in involved in litigation; will verify that Bremerton Skeet is within Bremerton city limits.
21	6:52
22	 Mr. Gurnee reviews logic behind changes to Marina and Marina Support
23	Services, which is similar for Airports, noting a Marina is typically found on
24	water, while Support Services could provide other facilities for maintenance,
25	service, accessories, etc.
26	 Mr. Gurnee notes Racetracks remain controversial no matter what; kept as
27	CUP but does allow for rural Major Racetrack.
28	 QUESTION/ANSWER: Chair Eliason asks, and Mr. Gurnee confirms, the Major
29	Racetrack definition stems from the NASCAR proposal several years back.
30	 Mr. Gurnee continues to review proposed changes including Schools, Ferry
31	Terminals, Airports & Airport Services including runways, hangars, pilot
32	training schools, etc.
33	 Mr. Gurnee notes Storage is a major issue that comes up regularly in discussion
34	with the public and internally; typical Storage use is meant to be allowed in
35	residential areas to serve residents of a nearby plat in Urban High or Medium;
36	some say it should be allowed independent of the plat.
37 38	• QUESTION : Chair Eliason notes Poulsbo wanted to put limits on storage; asks about current capacity of filled and vacant existing storage facilities in Kitsap.

1	 ANSWER: Mr. Ward notes the request to build may indicate a market
2	need but no numbers currently; also notes they typically take up a lot
3 4	of land that could be used for residential use nut there are different ideas on balance the need for people to live and store their things
5	 QUESTION/ANSWER: Mr. Shattuck asks, and Mr. Gurnee confirms, an Oyster
6	Plant allows for a hatchery or farm, but not for processing.
7	 QUESTION/ANSWER: Ms. Allen asks, and Mr. Gurnee confirms, the average
8	garage sale during the summer is conditionally exempt from a permit but is an
9	allowed use in residential zones.
LO	 Ms. Silva clarifies that a number of Code Compliance cases stem from
L1	individuals holding 24/7 garage sales.
12	 QUESTION/ANSWER: Mr. Phillips asks, and Mr. Gurnee confirms, Mobile
13	Vendor could be a Hot Dog or Food Truck, intended for a fixed location where
14	prep, service and sales are conducted, not mobile like an ice cream truck that
15	drives around and sells product.
16	 Mr. Gurnee notes the permit for Permanent Transitory Accommodations first
17	requires a Temporary Transitory Accommodation permit, which is why we
18	included that here.
19	 QUESTION: Mr. Phillips asks if transitory includes the transitional or halfway
20	homes operated through Department of Corrections (DOC)?
21	 ANSWER: Mr. Gurnee notes Secured Transition Facility is in the table
22	separately, defers to Ms. Silva, who clarifies this includes types
23	allowed under the State housing statues, including some transitional
24	operated by non-profits.
25 26 27 28 29	 Mr. Ward notes close work with Human Services to clarify distinctions, definitions and terminology correct and in alignment with State and other requirements. We have to align these with our code, even though the common use or reference may not be the same. This is extremely important so we can avoid the need to make like-use determinations.
31	 Mr. Phillips asks if the State has a list of other definitions related to
32	these facilities, either Secured or Unsecured?
33 34 35 36	 Mr. Ward confirms the state does have that, suggests a review of this information at another PC session where we can prepare and focus on the differences and where they stem from, some are funding, some are the managing agency.
37	 Liz Williams, DCD PEP Supervisor, notes State Code and definitions
38	were referenced wherever possible; and used in development of loca
39	code and definition to align for continuity.

1 2 3	 Ms. Silva notes over 150 uses were consolidated, with breakdowns by number of beds, etc. the aim was to take complicated categories and names and make the code and process easier to administer.
4 5 6 7	 QUESTION/ANSWER: Mr. Beam asks, and Ms. Silva & Mr. Gurnee confirm, Tiny Homes are included under Transitory Accommodations; permitted outright as a 180-day Temporary permit as the first step in the process toward a Permanent permit as an ACUP.
8 9 10	 Mr. Gurnee notes this completes the Residential Use review, and staff intends the PC to review proposed change matrix for the Commercial and LAMIRDs over the next 2 weeks.
11 12	 QUESTION/ANSWER: Mr. Beam asks, and Mr. Gurnee confirms, Definitions should be distributed to the PC by next Tuesday.
13	7:24
L4	H. For the Good of the Order
15	 Chair Eliason welcomes Commissioner Allen back.
16 1 7	 Chair Eliason notes he sent a thank you note to the Public Works staff on behalf of the PC, received nice response and reply from the Director.
18	Chair Eliason thanks Director Rimack for attending
L9	MOTION: Mr. Phillips moves to adjourn.
20	SECOND: Ms. Allen
21	 VOTE: 9 in Favor; 0 Opposed – Motion carries
22	
23	Time of Adjournment: 7:26 pm
24	
25	Minutes approved this 7th day of July 2020.
26	
27	nichael
28	Mike Eliason, Planning Commission Chair
29	Amar and
30 31	Amanda Walston, Planning Commission Clerk
_	, unanaa ttansen, i lanning esimmesion elek