

KITSAP COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

To enable the development of quality, affordable, structurally safe and environmentally sound communities.

Rafe Wysham Director

Notice of Hearing Examiner Decision

4/25/2025

To: Interested Parties and Parties of Record

RE: Project Name:

Applicant:

Application: Permit Number: Roland Gardner LLC - Conditional Use Permit for Accessory Dwelling Unit Roland Gardner LLC PO BOX 830 Wauna, WA 98395 CUP-ADU 24-02178

The Kitsap County Hearing Examiner has **APPROVED** the land use application for **Permit 24-02178: Roland Gardner LLC - Conditional Use Permit for Accessory Dwelling Unit (CUP-ADU)**, **subject to the conditions outlined in this Notice and included Decision**.

THE DECISION OF THE HEARING EXAMINER IS FINAL, UNLESS TIMELY APPEALED, AS PROVIDED UNDER WASHINGTON LAW.

The applicant is encouraged to review the Kitsap County Office of Hearing Examiner Rules of Procedure found at: <u>https://www.kitsap.gov/dcd/HEDocs/HE-Rules-for-Kitsap-County.pdf</u>.

Please note affected property owners may request a change in valuation for property tax purposes, notwithstanding any program of revaluation. Please contact the Assessor's Office at 360-337-5777 to determine if a change in valuation is applicable due to the issued Decision.

The complete case file is available for review by contacting the Department of Community Development; if you wish to view the case file or have other questions, please contact <u>help@kitsap1.com</u> or (360) 337-5777.

CC:

Applicant/Owner: Roland Gardner LLC, <u>golfballwackerguy@yahoo.com</u> Authorized Agents: Dave Jennings, <u>permits.j2hd@gmail.com</u>; Chris Burk, <u>Chris.J2HD@gmail.com</u> Engineer: DE-Civil PLLC, <u>info@de-civil.com</u>

Other: Jeff Roland, jdr4211@yahoo.com Interested Parties: Carol Arroyo, carolarroyo26@hotmail.com; Neal Bryan, nealbryan57@hotmail.com; Hannah Elkins, hannah.elkins93@gmail.com; Content Jones, contentiones@msn.com Prosecutor's Office Assessor's Office DCD Kitsap Sun Health District Public Works Parks Navy DSE Kitsap Transit South Kitsap Fire District South Kitsap School District Puget Sound Energy Water Purveyor: PUBLIC UTILITY DIST NO 1 Sewer Purveyor: PUBLIC UTILITY DIST NO 1 Point No Point Treaty Council Suguamish Tribe Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe Squaxin Island Tribe Puyallup Tribe Skokomish Tribe WA Dept of Fish & Wildlife WA Dept of Transportation/Aviation WA State Dept of Ecology-SEPA WA State Dept of Ecology-Wetland Review WA State Dept of Transportation WA Dept of Archaeological Historic Preservation WA Dept of Natural Resources

1		
2		
3	BEFORE THE HEARING EXAMI	NER FOR KITSAP COUNTY
4	Phil Olbrechts, Hea	ring Examiner
5	RE: Roland Gardner LLC	
6		NDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS
7	– ADU)	
8	File No. 24-02178	
9		
10	INTRODU	JCTION
11		
12	Roland Gardner LLC requests a Conditional Use Permit to construct an 897sf detached Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) at 2610 SW Glenacres Ct, Port Orchard, WA 98367. The application is approved subject to conditions.	
13		
14	The proposal has drawn an unusual amount of neighborhood concern for an ADU application. Those concerns are addressed in Finding of Fact No. 5d, 5e and 5f below. Overall, ADU and other County regulations address most concerns in detail. Those standards regulate noise, lighting and appearance. Pursuant to a staff recommendation, a condition of approval has been added requiring that the road maintenance agreement for SW Glenacres Ct. be updated to incorporate the subdivision creating the parcel subject to the ADU application. Concerns over use of a private shared well are beyond the scope of this ADU review. The hearing examiner has no authority to adjudicate	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	rights to private wells.	
20	ORAL TEST	IMONY
21	Erin Lewis, Staff Planner, summarized her s	1
22	report during the hearing to note that the project connects to Glenwood Rd SW vi Glenacres Ct. She identified that the ADU should be conditioned to require that the	ould be conditioned to require that the road
23	maintenance agreement for the road serving t resulting from the subdivision of the project s	
24		
25		
20	Conditional Use p. 1	Findings, Conclusions and Decision
1		

1	EXHIBITS
1 2	Exhibits 1-17 listed in the staff report were admitted during the hearing. An email from
2	Hannah Elkins was entered as exhibit 18 and a road maintenance agreement was entered as Exhibit 19 during the hearing.
4	FINDINGS OF FACT
5	
	Procedural:
6 7	1. <u>Applicant</u> . Roland Gardner LLC, 2610 SW Glenacres Ct, Port Orchard, WA 98367.
8	2. <u>Hearing</u> . The Hearing Examiner conducted a remote/hybrid hearing on the
9	application at 9:00 am on April 10, 2025.
10	Substantive:
11	3. Site/Proposal Description. Roland Gardner LLC requests a Conditional Use
12	Permit to construct an 897sf detached Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) at 2610 SW Glenacres Ct, Port Orchard, WA 98367. The applicant intends on constructing a new
13	4,489-square-foot primary residence. The ADU will be located approximately 150 feet
14	from the primary residence where it will be accessed using the same driveway. The project site is located outside of a UGA. The ADU is designed to maintain the
15	appearance of the primary residence using the same style and colors of the primary
16	residence and detached garage The Kitsap Public Health District has approved the project site for additional water and sewage disposal.
17	4. <u>Characteristics of the Area</u> . The subject property is bordered on all sides by
18	large wooded lots.
19	5. <u>Adverse Impacts</u> . No significant adverse impacts are anticipated from the proposal. Impacts are more directly addressed as follows:
20	proposal. Impacts are more directly addressed as follows.
21	a. <u>Off-Street Parking</u> . KCC 17.490.030 requires three (3) off-street parking spaces
22	per single-family residence and one (1) parking space for an ADU. The project site has sufficient parking area to accommodate four parking spaces.
23	b. <u>Stormwater</u> . Development Services and Engineering reviewed and accepts the
24	concepts contained in this preliminary submittal and requires the conditions stated in Section 13 of the staff report as an element of the land use approval.
25	
	Conditional Use p. 2 Findings, Conclusions and Decision

1	c.	<u>Critical Areas</u> . County staff have found no critical areas affected by the proposal.
2	d.	<u>Access, Traffic, Roads</u> . The proposed ADU will use the same driveway entering the property that the primary residences will use.
3		Concerns from surrounding neighbors were raised about road access. The
4		proposal will need to use SW Glenacres Ct. to connect to County roads. SW Glenacres Ct.is subject to a private road maintenance agreement. The subject
5		parcel is the result of a subdivision that occurred after execution of the road
6		maintenance agreement. The ADU in conjunction with the subdivision will materially add to the vehicle trips of SW Glenacres Ct. Staff have
7		recommended a condition of approval requiring that the road maintenance agreement be updated to reflect the additional lots created by the subdivision.
8 9		This normally would be a problematical condition because it may involve a legal determination that the maintenance agreement isn't designed to address subdivisions. That is normally regarded as a private civil matter between the
10		parties to the agreement. However, no one objected to the recommendation and it appears that staff found such a condition important and necessary to resolve
11		neighborhood concerns. The CUP is conditioned accordingly.
12	e.	<u>Water/Septic</u> . The Kitsap County Health District approved the site for additional sewage and water supply.
13		A concern was raised about excess demand upon a share well that apparently
14		will serve the project site. That is a private civil matter that is outside the jurisdiction of the hearing examiner.
15	f.	<u>Compatibility</u> . The proposal is compatible with surrounding uses. As identified in Finding of Fact No. 4, surrounding uses are all large heavily wooded lots.
16 17		With similar appearance to the primary residence, the ADU conversion will not create any aesthetic impacts to surrounding uses.
		The proposal drew an unusual amount of neighborhood concern over
18		compatibility for an ADU application. The concerns included increased traffic, lighting and noise. Kitsap County has noise standards in place that regulate
19		noise from residential areas as well as construction noise. See Chapter 10.28
20		KCC. Construction noise exceeding noise levels otherwise authorized in residential zones is limited to the hours between 7 am and 10 pm. KCC
21		10.28.040.
22		KCC 17.105.110 regulates lighting. It requires that lighting be shielded and directed downward and way from neighboring properties. Not more than one
23		foot candle of illumination may leave the property boundaries.
24		The addition of one additional home will have nominal impacts on overall road use. Impacts to SW Glenacres Ct. are addressed in FOF No. 5d above.
25		use. Impacts to 5 W Orenaeres et. are addressed in POP NO. 50 a000e.
	Condi	tional Use p. 3 Findings, Conclusions and Decision

1	
2	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
3	Procedural:
4	1. <u>Authority of Hearing Examiner</u> . KCC 17.550.030 authorizes the hearing examiner to issue decisions on applications for conditional use permits.
5	
6	Substantive:
7 8	2. <u>Zoning Designation</u> . The property is currently zoned Rural Residential (RR).
9	3. <u>Review Criteria</u> . KCC 17.410.042 requires a conditional use permit for detached ADUs in the RR zone. KCC 17.550.030A governs the criteria for conditional
10 11	use permits. Pertinent criteria are quoted below and applied via corresponding conclusions of law.
12	KCC 17.550.030.A: The hearing examiner may approve, approve with conditions, or deny a hearing examiner conditional use permit. Approval or approval with conditions
13	may be granted only when all the following criteria are met:
14	KCC 17.550.030.A.1: <i>The proposal is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan;</i>
15 16	4. <u>Criterion met</u> . The criterion is met. The proposed ADU provides the ability to create an affordable housing unit which is a goal supported by several policies in the Comprehensive Plan in a manner consistent with the zoning established for the subject
17 18	property. The proposal is also found consistent with the Comprehensive Plan for the reasons identified in Section 7 of the staff report (Exhibit 1).
19	KCC 17.550.030.A.2: The proposal complies with applicable requirements of this <i>title;</i>
20	5. <u>Criterion met</u> . The criterion is met. The proposal conforms to the County's zoning
21	code as detailed in Section 4 and 10 of the staff report (Exhibit 1). Staff planning and
22	public works staff have reviewed the proposal to ensure conformance to the County's zoning code for this level of review. The results of that work have been implemented
23	in detailed conditions of approval, adopted by this decision. Nothing in the record suggests any outstanding compliance issues. More detailed compliance will be required
24	during the building and civil permit review.
25	
	Conditional Use p. 4 Findings, Conclusions and Decision

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	The primary issue of zoning compliance is adherence to KCC 17.415.015B, which adopts standards tailored to detached ADUs outside urban growth areas. Pursuant to those standards, only one ADU is allowed per lot, the owner of the property must reside in the primary residence or the ADU, the ADU shall not exceed 50% of the habitable area of the primary residence, the ADU shall be located within 150 feet of the primary residence unless involving a conversion of an existing structure such as that proposed, the ADU shall be designed to maintain the appearance of the primary residence, all setback requirements shall be met, all health district standards shall be met, ADUs may not be mobile homes or recreational vehicles, and the ADU shall use the same access as the primary residence and shall provide an additional parking space. The conditions of approval recommended by staff require conformance to all of these standards. The proposed design and site characteristics further establish conformance as detailed in Findings of Fact No. 3 and 5.
8	KCC 17.550.030.A.3.: The proposal will not be materially detrimental to existing or
9	future uses or property in the immediate vicinity; and
10	6. <u>Criterion met</u> . The criterion is met for the reasons identified in Finding of Fact No.
11	5.
12 13	KCC 17.550.030.A.4: The proposal is compatible with and incorporates specific features, conditions, or revisions that ensure it responds appropriately to the existing
14	character, appearance, quality or development, and physical characteristics of the subject property and the immediate vicinity.
15	7. <u>Criterion met</u> . The criterion is met for the reasons identified in Finding of Fact No. 5f and via its required conformance to the ADU standards of KCC 17.415.015B.
16	DECISION
17	
18	Based upon the conclusions of law above, the conditional use permit application is approved subject to the following conditions:
19	a. Planning/Zoning
20	1. All required permits shall be obtained prior to commencement of land clearing, construction and/or occupancy.
21	2. The accessory dwelling unit (ADU) is subject to the payment of impact fees. Impact
22	fees must be paid at time of permit issuance, or if deferred, must be paid prior to final inspection. No certificate of occupancy will be granted until all impact fees
23	are paid. 3. Any proposed modification (not including cosmetic work such as painting,
24	papering and similar finish work), remodel or expansion of the accessory dwelling unit (ADU) building, regardless of whether a building permit is required, shall be
25	and (1120) bunding, regulatess of whether a bunding permit is required, shall be
	Conditional Usep. 5Findings, Conclusions and Decision

1	reviewed by the Department of Community Development and granted approval prior to such modification, expansion, construction and/or issuance of a building
2	permit.4. Only one accessory dwelling unit (ADU) shall be permitted on the subject property.
3	5. The owner of the property must reside in either the primary residence or the accessory dwelling unit (ADU) and only one of the structures may be rented at any
4	one time.6. The accessory dwelling unit's (ADU) habitable area shall not exceed 50% of the
5	primary residence or 900 square feet, whichever is smaller. The proposed size of the ADU is 897 square feet. Any future expansion of the ADU will require a
6	building permit and would have to comply with all code requirements in place at
7	the time of the new building permit application.
8	7. The accessory dwelling unit (ADU) shall be located within 150 feet of the primary residence.
	8. The accessory dwelling unit (ADU) shall be designed to maintain the appearance
9	of the primary residence.
10	9. This permit shall comply with all Kitsap Public Health District regulations and
11	conditions of approval. 10. No mobile home or recreational vehicle shall be allowed as an accessory dwelling
	unit (ADU).
12	11. The accessory dwelling unit (ADU) shall use the same side street entrance as the
13	primary residence and shall provide one additional off-street parking space. 12. An accessory living quarters (ALQ) or guest house (GH) is not permitted on the
14	same lot unless the accessory dwelling unit (ADU) is removed and the ALQ or GH complies with all requirements imposed by the Kitsap County Code (KCC).
15	13. A property with a primary residence and an accessory dwelling unit (ADU) cannot
16	be segregated to create two separate legal lots unless it complies with all subdivision, zoning and density requirements in place at the time of a complete
17	subdivision application. 14. The accessory dwelling unit (ADU) cannot be sold separately from the primary
18	residence unless it has legally been segregated onto its own lot.
	15. The recipient of any conditional use permit shall file a Notice of Land Use Binder
19	with the county auditor prior to any of the following: initiation of any further site work, issuance of any development/construction permits by the county, or
20	occupancy/use of the subject property or buildings thereon for the use or activity
21	authorized. The Notice of Land Use Binder shall serve both as an acknowledgment of and agreement to abide by the terms and conditions of the conditional use permit
22	and as a notice to prospective purchasers of the existence of the permit. The Binder shall be prepared and recorded by the Department at the applicant's expense.
23	16. The uses of the subject property are limited to the uses proposed by the applicant
24	and any other uses will be subject to further review pursuant to the requirements of the Kitsap County Code (KCC). Unless in conflict with the conditions stated and/or
25	any regulations, all terms and specifications of the application shall be binding
	Conditional Use p. 6 Findings, Conclusions and Decision

1	 conditions of approval. Approval of this project shall not, and is not, to be construed as approval for more extensive or other utilization of the subject property. 17. The authorization granted herein is subject to all applicable federal, state, and local
2 3	laws, regulations, and ordinances. Compliance with such laws, regulations, and ordinances is a condition to the approvals granted and is a continuing requirement
4	of such approvals. By accepting this/these approvals, the applicant represents that the development and activities allowed will comply with such laws, regulations,
5	and ordinances. If, during the term of the approval granted, the development and activities permitted do not comply with such laws, regulations, or ordinances, the
6	applicant agrees to promptly bring such development or activities into compliance. 18. The decision set forth herein is based upon representations made and exhibits
7	contained in the project application (24-02178). Any change(s) or deviation(s) in such plans, proposals, or conditions of approval imposed shall be subject to further
8	review and approval of the County and potentially the Hearing Examiner.
9	19. This Conditional Use Permit approval shall automatically become void if no development permit application is accepted as complete by the Department of
10	Community Development within four years of the Notice of Decision date or the resolution of any appeals.
11	20. Any violation of the conditions of approval shall be grounds to initiate revocation
12	of this Conditional Use Permit.
	Development Engineering 21. The information provided demonstrates this proposal is a Large Project as defined
13	in Kitsap County Code Title 12 and as such will require a Full Drainage Site Development Activity Permit (SDAP) from Development Engineering.
14	22. Stormwater quantity control, quality treatment, and erosion and sedimentation
15	control shall be designed in accordance with Kitsap County Code Title 12 effective at the time the SDAP (or Building Permit if no SDAP required) application is
16	deemed fully complete. The submittal documents shall be prepared by a civil engineer licensed in the State of Washington. The fees and submittal requirements
17	shall be in accordance with Kitsap County Code in effect at the time of SDAP
18	application, or Building Permit if an SDAP is not required.23. The site plan indicates that greater than 1 acre will be disturbed during construction.
19	This threshold requires a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
20	(NPDES) Stormwater Construction permit from the State Department of Ecology. More information about this permit can be found at:
21	http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/stormwater/construction/html or by calling Melinda Wilson at 360-870- 8290, email melinda.wilson@ecy.wa.gov. This permit
22	is required prior to issuance of the SDAP.
23	24. The design of the infiltration facilities shall be in accordance with Vol. II, Ch. 5 of the Kitsap County Stormwater Design Manual.
24	25. The infiltration facilities shall remain off line until the drainage areas are stabilized
25	and the water quality treatment facility is adequately established. Temporary erosion and sedimentation ponds shall not be located over infiltration facilities. In
	Conditional Use p. 7 Findings, Conclusions and Decision

1 2 3 4 5 6	 addition, retention ponds shall not be utilized as temporary erosion and sedimentation control ponds. 26. During construction of the proposed infiltration facilities, the Project Engineer shall provide an inspection(s) to verify that the facilities are installed in accordance with the design documents and that actual soil conditions encountered meet the design assumptions. The Project Engineer shall submit the inspection report(s), properly stamped and sealed to Development Engineering. 27. If the project proposal is modified from that shown on the site plan approved for this permit application, Development Engineering will require additional review and potentially new conditions.
7 8	Environmental None
9	Traffic and Roads None
10	Fire Safety
11 12	28. Plat documents filed in February 2019 require all dwelling be protected by a residential automatic fire sprinkler system. Residential sprinkler systems shall be
12	filed under a separate permit.29. Please note future development will require road (SW Glen Acres PL) to be 20' wide, all weather surface with turn around.
14 15	Solid Waste None
16 17	Kitsap Public Health District None
18	Hearing Examiner.
19	30. Prior to construction the road maintenance agreement, Ex. 19, shall be revised to
20	incorporate the subdivision creating the subject lot. County staff may waive this requirement if the Applicant establishes that the road maintenance agreement is
21	designed to address additional road usage created by subdivision.
22	Dated this 24 th day of April 2025.
23	Jel de
24	Phil Olbrechts, Kitsap County Hearing Examiner
25	
	Conditional Use p. 8 Findings, Conclusions and Decision

1	Appeal Right and Valuation Notices
2	Pursuant to KCC 21.04.100 and KCC 21.04.110, this conditional use permit decision is
3	a final land use decision of Kitsap County and may be appealed to superior court within 21 days as governed by the Washington State Land Use Petition Act, Chapter 36.70C
4	RCW.
5	Affected property owners may request a change in valuation for property tax purposes
6	notwithstanding any program of revaluation.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
17	
18	
20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Conditional Use p. 9 Findings, Conclusions and Decision